International Research Journal of Business and Social Science

Volume: 12 Issue: 2
April-June, 2026
ISSN:2411-3646

KMF Publishers

http://irjbss.net/

International Research Journal of Business and Social Science
Volume: 12, Issue: 2, 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64907/xkmf.v12i2.irjbss.3

Research Article

http://irjbss.net/

OPEN ACCESS

Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Technological Innovation: Legal Professionals' Narratives from Multinational Firms

Ekramul Haque[1]*; Farija Akther; Kazi Abdul Mannan

[1*]Department of Law

[2]Department of Business Administration

Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Uttara, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) has become a strategic priority for multinational corporations (MNCs operating within increasingly complex regulatory and sustainability landscapes. This study examines the relationship between CER and technological innovation through the interpretive lens of legal professionals' narratives. Employing a qualitative research design based on secondary data, including academic literature, corporate sustainability reports, and legal frameworks, the study explores how legal actors influence corporate environmental strategies and innovation processes. The findings reveal that legal professionals play a critical mediating role by translating regulatory requirements into strategic initiatives, framing compliance as both a constraint and a catalyst for innovation. Moreover, CER is found to drive the adoption of green and digital technologies that enhance environmental performance while mitigating legal and reputational risks. The study also highlights the challenges posed by jurisdictional fragmentation and institutional diversity in multinational contexts. By integrating stakeholder, resource-based, and institutional perspectives with a legal interpretive approach, the research contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the CER–innovation nexus and underscores the importance of legal expertise in advancing sustainable corporate governance.

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received 2 May 2026
Revised 20 June 2026
Accepted 25 June 2026

KEYWORDS
Corporate Environmental Responsibility; Technological Innovation; Multinational Corporations; Legal Professionals; ESG Governance; Sustainability; Institutional Theory

CONTACT Ekramul Haque, Email: infoekram71@gmail.com

1. Introduction

In the twenty-first century, environmental sustainability has become a defining concern for global governance, economic development, and corporate strategy. Multinational corporations (MNCs), as dominant actors in the global economy, play a crucial role in shaping environmental outcomes through their production processes, supply chains, and technological capabilities. Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER), a specialised dimension of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), refers to the voluntary and regulatory-driven initiatives undertaken by corporations to minimise environmental harm and promote ecological sustainability (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). As environmental degradation, climate change, and resource scarcity intensify, CER has evolved from a peripheral concern to a central component of corporate governance and strategic decision-making.

The increasing integration of CER into corporate strategies is closely linked with the rise of technological innovation, particularly green and sustainable technologies. Technological innovation, in this context, encompasses the development and application of new processes, products, and systems aimed at reducing environmental impact, improving resource efficiency, and enabling sustainable production (Kraus et al., 2020). The relationship between CER and technological innovation is both dynamic and reciprocal. On the one hand, CER initiatives create incentives for firms to invest in environmentally friendly technologies. On the other hand, technological advancements enable firms to achieve their environmental objectives more effectively and efficiently (Zhang et al., 2024).

However, the intersection of CER and technological innovation is not merely a technical or managerial issue; it is deeply embedded within legal and institutional frameworks. MNCs operate across multiple jurisdictions, each characterised by distinct regulatory regimes, enforcement mechanisms, and socio-political contexts. This complexity creates both opportunities and challenges for implementing CER and fostering innovation. Legal frameworks, including environmental laws, international agreements, and corporate governance regulations, play a critical role in shaping corporate behaviour. They establish the boundaries within which firms operate and influence the incentives for adopting sustainable practices (Schaltegger et al., 2022).

Within this regulatory landscape, legal professionals, such as corporate lawyers, compliance officers, and environmental law specialists, emerge as key actors in mediating the relationship between CER and technological innovation. These professionals are responsible for interpreting legal requirements, advising corporate management, and ensuring compliance with environmental regulations. More importantly, they contribute to strategic decision-making by identifying legal risks, opportunities, and pathways for innovation. Despite their significant influence, the perspectives and narratives of legal professionals have received limited attention

in the existing literature on CSR and innovation.

The concept of "legal narratives" refers to how legal professionals interpret, construct, and communicate legal realities within organisations. These narratives shape how firms understand their responsibilities, assess risks, and pursue innovation. For example, legal professionals may frame environmental regulations as constraints that limit corporate flexibility, or as catalysts that drive innovation and competitive advantage. Understanding these narratives is essential for analysing how CER is operationalised within MNCs and how it influences technological innovation.

The importance of this inquiry is further underscored by the growing prominence of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks. ESG metrics have become critical tools for evaluating corporate performance, attracting investment, and ensuring accountability. Legal professionals play a central role in ESG reporting and compliance, particularly in ensuring that environmental disclosures meet regulatory standards and stakeholder expectations (Risi et al., 2023). As ESG considerations become more integrated into corporate governance, the role of legal professionals in shaping CER and innovation is likely to become even more significant.

This study seeks to explore the intersection of CER and technological innovation through the lens of legal professionals' narratives in multinational firms. Specifically, it aims to address the following research questions:

- How do legal professionals conceptualise and interpret Corporate Environmental Responsibility in multinational contexts?
- What role do legal frameworks play in enabling or constraining technological innovation?
- How do legal narratives influence corporate strategies related to environmental sustainability and innovation?

To address these questions, the study adopts a qualitative research methodology based on secondary data analysis. By examining academic literature, corporate reports, legal case studies, and ESG disclosures, the research provides an interpretive understanding of the role of legal professionals in shaping CER and innovation.

The significance of this study lies in its interdisciplinary approach, which integrates insights from law, management, and sustainability studies. It contributes to the literature by highlighting the often-overlooked role of legal professionals and by proposing a conceptual framework that links CER, legal governance, and technological innovation. Furthermore, the study offers practical implications for multinational firms, policymakers, and legal practitioners, emphasising the need for integrated strategies that align environmental responsibility with innovation and legal compliance.

2. Literature Review

Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) has emerged as a critical dimension of

corporate sustainability, reflecting firms' obligations to minimise their environmental impact and contribute to ecological preservation. CER encompasses a wide range of activities, including emissions reduction, waste management, resource conservation, and environmental reporting (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012). Unlike traditional CSR, which often focuses on social and philanthropic initiatives, CER is more directly concerned with environmental outcomes and regulatory compliance.

The evolution of CER can be understood in three phases: voluntary engagement, strategic integration, and regulatory institutionalisation. In the early stages, firms adopted environmental initiatives voluntarily, often driven by reputational concerns and stakeholder pressure. Over time, CER became integrated into corporate strategy, with firms recognising its potential to enhance competitiveness and innovation. More recently, CER has been institutionalised through legal frameworks and ESG standards, making it a mandatory aspect of corporate governance (Schaltegger et al., 2022).

MNCs face unique challenges in implementing CER due to their global operations. Differences in regulatory standards, enforcement mechanisms, and cultural expectations create complexities in maintaining consistent environmental practices. For example, firms may encounter stringent environmental regulations in developed countries but face weaker enforcement in developing regions, leading to potential inconsistencies in CER implementation (Zhao et al., 2023). These challenges highlight the importance of legal expertise in navigating diverse regulatory environments.

2.1 Technological Innovation and Environmental Sustainability

Technological innovation is widely recognised as a key driver of environmental sustainability. Green innovation, defined as the development of technologies that reduce environmental impact, plays a crucial role in enabling firms to achieve CER objectives (Kraus et al., 2020). Examples include renewable energy systems, energy-efficient manufacturing processes, and digital monitoring tools.

The relationship between technological innovation and sustainability is multifaceted. On the one hand, innovation enables firms to reduce costs, improve efficiency, and comply with environmental regulations. On the other hand, it requires significant investment and may involve risks related to technological uncertainty and market acceptance (Centobelli et al., 2020). Despite these challenges, empirical studies consistently demonstrate a positive relationship between CSR and innovation performance.

Digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT), have further expanded the possibilities for environmental sustainability. These technologies enable real-time monitoring of environmental performance, enhance transparency, and facilitate compliance with regulatory requirements (Risi et al., 2023). As a result, technological innovation has become an essential

component of corporate environmental strategies.

2.2 The CER–Innovation Nexus

The relationship between CER and technological innovation has been extensively studied, with most research indicating a positive and mutually reinforcing relationship. CER initiatives create incentives for firms to invest in green technologies, while innovation enhances the effectiveness of environmental strategies (Zhang et al., 2024).

However, this relationship is not always straightforward. Some studies suggest that CER may initially impose financial burdens on firms, particularly in terms of compliance costs and investment in new technologies. Over time, however, these investments can lead to improved efficiency, reduced costs, and enhanced competitiveness (Zhao et al., 2023). This dynamic relationship is often described as a "U-shaped" curve, where the benefits of CER-driven innovation become more apparent in the long term.

Furthermore, the CER–innovation nexus is influenced by various factors, including firm size, industry characteristics, and institutional context. MNCs, due to their resources and global reach, are better positioned to invest in innovation and implement CER initiatives. However, they also face greater scrutiny from stakeholders and regulators, increasing the complexity of their decision-making processes.

2.3 Legal Frameworks and Environmental Governance

Legal frameworks play a central role in shaping corporate environmental behaviour. Environmental laws, regulations, and international agreements establish the standards that firms must meet and create incentives for adopting sustainable practices (Schaltegger et al., 2022). These frameworks include emission standards, waste management regulations, and environmental reporting requirements.

However, the effectiveness of legal frameworks varies across jurisdictions. In developed countries, strong regulatory systems and enforcement mechanisms ensure compliance with environmental standards. In contrast, developing countries may face challenges such as weak enforcement, corruption, and limited institutional capacity (Zhao et al., 2023). These disparities create complexities for MNCs operating in multiple regions.

International legal frameworks, such as the Paris Agreement, also influence corporate behaviour by setting global environmental targets. While these agreements are primarily directed at governments, they indirectly affect corporations by shaping national policies and regulatory environments.

2.4 Role of Legal Professionals in CER and Innovation

Legal professionals play a critical role in interpreting and implementing environmental regulations within corporations. Their responsibilities include ensuring compliance, managing legal risks, and advising management on strategic

decisions. In the context of CER and innovation, legal professionals act as intermediaries between regulatory frameworks and corporate practices.

One of the key functions of legal professionals is risk management. They identify potential legal risks associated with environmental practices and develop strategies to mitigate these risks. This often involves advising firms to adopt innovative technologies that reduce environmental impact and ensure compliance (Risi et al., 2023).

Moreover, legal professionals contribute to corporate governance by shaping policies, drafting contracts, and overseeing ESG reporting. Their interpretations of legal requirements influence how firms perceive and implement CER. For example, a proactive legal approach may encourage firms to invest in innovation, while a conservative approach may prioritise compliance over experimentation.

2.5 Gaps in the Literature

Despite the extensive research on CER and technological innovation, several gaps remain. First, most studies focus on managerial and economic perspectives, with limited attention to legal actors. Second, the role of legal narratives in shaping corporate behaviour has not been adequately explored. Third, there is a lack of qualitative research examining how legal professionals interpret and influence CER and innovation.

This study addresses these gaps by adopting a qualitative approach based on secondary data and focusing on legal professionals' narratives. By integrating legal perspectives into the CER innovation discourse, the research provides a more comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing corporate sustainability.

3. Theoretical Framework

Understanding the complex relationship between Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) and technological innovation requires a multidimensional theoretical lens. This study integrates three dominant theoretical perspectives, Stakeholder Theory, Resource-Based View (RBV), and Institutional Theory, while incorporating a legal interpretive dimension to explain how legal professionals shape corporate behaviour within multinational firms (MNCs).

3.1 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory, as articulated by Freeman (1984), posits that organisations are accountable not only to shareholders but also to a broader set of stakeholders, including customers, employees, governments, communities, and environmental groups. Within the context of CER, stakeholders exert increasing pressure on corporations to adopt environmentally responsible practices and invest in sustainable technologies.

Environmental concerns have become central to stakeholder expectations, particularly as awareness of climate change and ecological degradation grows. Investors increasingly rely on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) metrics to evaluate corporate performance, while consumers demand environmentally friendly products and practices (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012).

Regulatory bodies also impose legal obligations that compel firms to integrate environmental considerations into their operations.

Legal professionals play a critical role in interpreting stakeholder demands and translating them into corporate strategies. They ensure that firms meet regulatory requirements while also addressing broader stakeholder expectations. From this perspective, CER is not merely a compliance obligation but a strategic response to stakeholder pressures, which in turn drives technological innovation (Schaltegger et al., 2022).

3.2 Resource-Based View (RBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV) provides an internal perspective on how firms achieve competitive advantage through the development and deployment of valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) resources (Barney, 1991). In the context of CER, environmental capabilities, such as green technologies, sustainable supply chains, and environmental management systems, can be conceptualised as strategic resources.

Technological innovation is a key mechanism through which firms develop and enhance these capabilities. Investments in green technologies not only improve environmental performance but also create competitive advantages by reducing costs, enhancing efficiency, and differentiating products (Kraus et al., 2020). For example, energy-efficient production processes can lower operational costs while simultaneously reducing environmental impact.

Legal professionals contribute to the development of these capabilities by ensuring that innovations comply with regulatory requirements and by protecting intellectual property rights. They also play a role in identifying legal risks and opportunities associated with technological investments. Thus, within the RBV framework, legal expertise can be viewed as an intangible resource that enhances a firm's ability to integrate CER and innovation effectively.

3.3 Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory emphasises the role of external pressures, regulatory, normative, and cognitive, in shaping organisational behaviour (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). MNCs operate within multiple institutional environments, each characterised by distinct legal systems, cultural norms, and societal expectations.

Regulatory pressures, such as environmental laws and international agreements, compel firms to adopt CER practices. Normative pressures arise from industry standards and professional norms, while cognitive pressures reflect societal beliefs about environmental responsibility. These pressures create a complex landscape in which firms must navigate competing demands and expectations.

Legal professionals act as institutional intermediaries, interpreting and responding to these pressures. They ensure compliance with local and international regulations while advising firms on how to align their strategies with institutional expectations. For example, differences in environmental regulations across countries may require firms to adopt

flexible and adaptive approaches to CER implementation (Zhao et al., 2023).

Institutional Theory also highlights the concept of isomorphism, where firms adopt similar practices to gain legitimacy. In the context of CER, this may lead to the widespread adoption of green technologies and sustainability reporting practices. Legal professionals facilitate this process by standardising compliance procedures and ensuring adherence to best practices.

3.4 Legal Interpretive Perspective

While traditional theories provide valuable insights, they often overlook the role of legal actors in shaping corporate behaviour. This study introduces a legal interpretive perspective, focusing on how legal professionals construct and communicate legal realities within organisations.

Legal narratives influence how firms perceive environmental regulations and innovation opportunities. For instance, a legal professional may frame environmental regulations as constraints that limit corporate flexibility, or as opportunities that drive innovation and enhance competitiveness. These narratives shape corporate decision-making and strategic priorities.

This perspective aligns with socio-legal theories that emphasise the interpretive role of law in organisational contexts. Law is not merely a set of rules but a dynamic system that is interpreted and applied by legal actors (Suchman, 1995). By examining legal narratives, this study provides a deeper understanding of how CER and technological innovation are operationalised within MNCs.

3.5 Integrated Conceptual Framework

Drawing on the above theories, the study proposes an integrated conceptual framework in which:

- **CER acts as a strategic driver** influenced by stakeholder pressures and institutional demands.
- **Technological innovation serves as both an outcome and enabler** of CER initiatives.
- **Legal professionals function as mediators**, interpreting regulatory frameworks and shaping corporate strategies.
- **Institutional contexts moderate the relationship** between CER and innovation, creating variations across jurisdictions.

This framework underscores the importance of integrating legal, managerial, and institutional perspectives to understand the CER–innovation nexus in multinational firms.

4. Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design based on secondary data analysis. Qualitative research is particularly suitable for exploring complex social phenomena and gaining in-depth insights into actors' perspectives and narratives (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Given the focus on legal professionals' interpretations and experiences, an interpretive approach is employed to understand how CER and technological innovation are conceptualised and implemented within multinational firms.

The use of secondary data allows for a comprehensive analysis of existing knowledge and provides access to a wide range of sources, including academic literature, corporate reports, and legal documents. This approach is consistent with prior studies that have used secondary data to examine CSR and sustainability issues (Tranfield et al., 2003).

4.1 Data Sources

The study draws on multiple sources of secondary data to ensure depth and diversity of perspectives. These sources include:

- **Academic Literature:** Peer-reviewed journal articles on CER, CSR, technological innovation, and environmental law.
- **Corporate Reports:** Sustainability reports, ESG disclosures, and annual reports of multinational firms.
- **Legal Documents:** Environmental regulations, international agreements, and case law related to corporate environmental responsibility.
- **Industry Reports:** Publications from international organisations and consulting firms on sustainability and innovation trends.

The selection of data sources was guided by relevance, credibility, and recency. Emphasis was placed on high-quality, peer-reviewed sources and reports from reputable organisations.

4.2 Data Collection Strategy

A systematic literature review approach was used to identify relevant sources. Keywords such as "corporate environmental responsibility," "technological innovation," "legal professionals," and "multinational firms" were used to search academic databases.

Inclusion criteria:

- Studies published in peer-reviewed journals
- Reports from recognised institutions
- Sources focusing on CER, innovation, and legal frameworks

Exclusion criteria:

- Non-scholarly or unreliable sources
- Studies lacking relevance to the research questions

This systematic approach enhances the rigour and transparency of the research process (Tranfield et al., 2003).

4.3 Data Analysis

The study employs thematic analysis, a widely used qualitative method for identifying, analysing, and interpreting patterns within data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis was conducted in several stages:

- **Familiarisation:** Reviewing and organising the data to gain an overall understanding.
- **Coding:** Identifying key themes related to CER, innovation, and legal narratives.
- **Theme Development:** Grouping codes into broader themes, such as compliance, risk management, and innovation drivers.
- **Interpretation:** Analysing the relationships between themes and

linking them to the theoretical framework.

This approach allows for a nuanced understanding of how legal professionals interpret and influence CER and technological innovation.

4.4 Validity and Reliability

To ensure the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings, several strategies were employed:

- **Triangulation:** Multiple data sources were used to cross-validate findings.
- **Theoretical grounding:** The analysis was guided by established theoretical frameworks.
- **Transparency:** Clear documentation of data collection and analysis procedures.

These measures enhance the reliability and validity of qualitative research (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

4.5 Ethical Considerations

As the study relies on secondary data, it does not involve direct interaction with human participants. However, ethical considerations were taken into account by:

- Using publicly available and credible sources
- Properly citing all references
- Avoiding misrepresentation of data (Mannan & Farhana, 2026)

4.6 Limitations

Despite its strengths, the study has certain limitations:

- Reliance on secondary data may limit access to firsthand perspectives.
- Potential bias in published sources.
- Lack of empirical validation through primary data.

Future research could address these limitations by conducting interviews or case studies involving legal professionals in multinational firms.

5. Findings and Analysis

The thematic analysis of secondary data reveals that legal professionals within multinational corporations (MNCs) play a pivotal and multifaceted role in shaping the relationship between Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) and technological innovation. Their narratives demonstrate how legal reasoning, regulatory interpretation, and strategic considerations converge to influence corporate environmental practices. This section presents six key themes derived from the analysis.

5.1 Legal Professionals as Strategic Interpreters of CER

A central finding is that legal professionals act not merely as compliance officers but as strategic interpreters of environmental responsibility. Their role extends beyond ensuring adherence to legal requirements to shaping corporate understanding of CER as a strategic imperative.

Legal narratives frequently frame CER as both a legal obligation and a source of competitive advantage. For instance, sustainability reports and corporate

disclosures indicate that legal advisors increasingly participate in strategic planning processes, advising firms on how to integrate CER into long-term business models. This aligns with Stakeholder Theory, which suggests that firms respond to stakeholder pressures by adopting responsible practices (Freeman, 1984).

From an institutional perspective, legal professionals interpret regulatory and normative pressures and translate them into actionable strategies. In doing so, they contribute to organisational legitimacy by ensuring that corporate actions align with societal expectations (Suchman, 1995). Their interpretive role is particularly important in MNCs, where varying legal frameworks require a nuanced understanding and adaptation.

5.2 Compliance as a Catalyst for Technological Innovation

Contrary to the traditional view that regulation stifles innovation, the findings suggest that legal compliance often acts as a catalyst for technological advancement. Legal professionals frequently frame environmental regulations as drivers of innovation, encouraging firms to develop new technologies to meet regulatory standards.

This phenomenon is consistent with the "Porter Hypothesis," which posits that stringent environmental regulations can stimulate innovation and improve competitiveness. Firms invest in green technologies, such as renewable energy systems, carbon capture technologies, and digital monitoring tools, to comply with environmental laws and reduce regulatory risks (Kraus et al., 2020).

Legal narratives highlight how compliance requirements create a sense of urgency and direction for innovation. For example, emissions regulations compel firms to adopt cleaner production methods, while reporting requirements encourage the development of data-driven environmental management systems. These innovations not only ensure compliance but also enhance operational efficiency and market positioning.

5.3 Jurisdictional Complexity and Regulatory Fragmentation

A significant challenge identified in the analysis is the complexity arising from operating across multiple legal jurisdictions. MNCs must navigate diverse regulatory environments, each with its own standards, enforcement mechanisms, and cultural expectations.

Legal professionals emphasise the difficulties of harmonising CER strategies across jurisdictions. Differences in environmental laws can create conflicts and uncertainties, making it challenging to implement consistent practices. For instance, a technology that complies with regulations in one country may not meet the standards of another.

Institutional Theory provides a useful lens for understanding this phenomenon. MNCs face coercive pressures from regulatory bodies, normative pressures from industry standards, and cognitive pressures from societal expectations (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Legal professionals act as intermediaries,

interpreting these pressures and advising firms on how to navigate them.

The findings also reveal that regulatory fragmentation can both hinder and stimulate innovation. On the one hand, it increases compliance costs and complexity. On the other hand, it encourages firms to develop flexible and adaptive technologies that can be applied across different contexts.

5.4 Risk Management as a Driver of CER and Innovation

Risk management emerges as a central theme in legal narratives. Legal professionals view CER initiatives as essential tools for mitigating legal, financial, and reputational risks. Environmental non-compliance can result in significant penalties, litigation, and damage to corporate reputation.

Technological innovation is often framed as a means of reducing these risks. For example, digital monitoring systems enable firms to track environmental performance in real time, ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. Similarly, investments in clean technologies reduce the likelihood of environmental violations.

From the perspective of the Resource-Based View (RBV), risk management capabilities can be considered valuable organisational resources (Barney, 1991). Legal expertise, combined with technological innovation, enhances a firm's ability to manage risks and maintain a competitive advantage.

Moreover, ESG considerations have amplified the importance of risk management. Investors and stakeholders increasingly demand transparency and accountability, making it imperative for firms to adopt robust CER practices and innovative solutions (Risi et al., 2023).

5.5 Integration of CER into ESG and Corporate Governance

The integration of CER into ESG frameworks represents a significant development in corporate governance. Legal professionals play a key role in ensuring that environmental considerations are embedded in governance structures and reporting practices.

Thematic analysis reveals that legal narratives emphasise the importance of compliance with ESG standards and disclosure requirements. Legal professionals are involved in drafting sustainability reports, ensuring accuracy and compliance with regulatory guidelines. They also advise on governance structures that support environmental responsibility.

This integration reflects a shift from voluntary CSR initiatives to mandatory and institutionalised practices. Institutional Theory suggests that firms adopt such practices to gain legitimacy and align with societal expectations (Schaltegger et al., 2022).

Furthermore, ESG integration creates new opportunities for innovation. Firms develop technologies to measure and report environmental performance, such as carbon accounting systems and sustainability analytics tools. These innovations enhance transparency and support decision-making.

5.6 Digital Transformation and Legal Innovation

The role of digital technologies in supporting CER and legal functions is another key finding. Legal professionals increasingly rely on digital tools to manage compliance, analyse data, and support innovation.

Technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT) enable real-time monitoring of environmental performance and facilitate compliance with regulatory requirements. For example, IoT devices can track emissions and resource usage, providing data that supports both legal compliance and operational efficiency (Risi et al., 2023).

Legal professionals also use digital tools to streamline compliance processes and reduce administrative burdens. Automated systems can identify potential regulatory violations and generate reports, enabling proactive risk management.

From an RBV perspective, digital capabilities represent strategic resources that enhance a firm's ability to integrate CER and innovation. They also support the development of dynamic capabilities, allowing firms to adapt to changing regulatory and environmental conditions.

5.7 Synthesis of Findings

Overall, the findings highlight the central role of legal professionals in mediating the relationship between CER and technological innovation. Their narratives reveal a complex interplay of compliance, strategy, risk management, and institutional pressures.

The analysis demonstrates that:

- CER is both a legal obligation and a strategic opportunity.
- Legal frameworks can drive innovation rather than constrain it.
- Jurisdictional complexity requires adaptive and flexible approaches.
- Technological innovation is essential for managing environmental risks and achieving compliance.

These insights provide a foundation for the discussion in the next section.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study provide significant insights into the intersection of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER), technological innovation, and legal governance within multinational firms. This section interprets the findings in relation to the theoretical framework and existing literature, highlighting key implications and contributions.

6.1 Reframing CER as a Legal–Innovation Nexus

One of the primary contributions of this study is the reconceptualisation of CER as a nexus between legal governance and technological innovation. Traditional approaches often treat CER as a managerial or ethical issue, focusing on corporate policies and practices. However, the findings suggest that CER is deeply embedded in legal frameworks and mediated by legal professionals.

This perspective aligns with Institutional Theory, which emphasises the role of regulatory and normative pressures in shaping organisational behaviour (DiMaggio

& Powell, 1983). Legal professionals interpret these pressures and translate them into corporate strategies, effectively bridging the gap between external regulations and internal practices.

The integration of legal narratives into the CER innovation discourse provides a more comprehensive understanding of how firms respond to environmental challenges. It highlights the importance of considering legal actors as key stakeholders in sustainability initiatives.

6.2 The Dual Role of Law: Constraint and Enabler

The study underscores the dual role of law as both a constraint and an enabler of innovation. While regulations impose limits on corporate behaviour, they also create incentives for technological advancement.

This finding supports the Porter Hypothesis, which suggests that well-designed environmental regulations can stimulate innovation and enhance competitiveness. Legal professionals play a crucial role in framing regulations as opportunities rather than obstacles, influencing how firms respond to regulatory pressures.

From a Stakeholder Theory perspective, this dual role reflects the need to balance competing interests. Firms must comply with legal requirements while also addressing stakeholder expectations and maintaining profitability (Freeman, 1984). Legal professionals help navigate these tensions by providing strategic guidance.

6.3 Legal Professionals as Institutional Entrepreneurs

The findings suggest that legal professionals can be conceptualised as "institutional entrepreneurs", actors who actively shape institutional frameworks and influence organisational behaviour. Rather than merely responding to regulations, they contribute to the development and evolution of legal and corporate practices.

For example, legal professionals may advocate for the adoption of innovative technologies that exceed regulatory requirements, positioning firms as leaders in sustainability. They may also influence policy development by engaging with regulators and industry groups.

This perspective extends Institutional Theory by highlighting the agency of legal actors. It suggests that legal professionals are not passive intermediaries but active participants in shaping the CER–innovation nexus.

6.4 Strategic Implications for Multinational Firms

The findings have important implications for MNCs. First, firms must recognise the strategic value of legal expertise in managing CER and innovation. Legal professionals should be integrated into decision-making processes and involved in strategic planning.

Second, firms must adopt a proactive approach to compliance, viewing regulations as opportunities for innovation rather than burdens. This requires investment in green technologies and digital tools that support environmental performance.

Third, MNCs must develop strategies to manage jurisdictional complexity. This may involve standardising practices across regions while allowing for local adaptation. Legal professionals play a key role in designing and implementing these strategies.

From an RBV perspective, these capabilities can be considered strategic resources that enhance competitiveness (Barney, 1991). Firms that effectively integrate legal expertise and technological innovation are better positioned to achieve sustainable performance.

6.5 Policy Implications

The study also has implications for policymakers and regulators. The findings suggest that well-designed regulations can stimulate innovation and support environmental sustainability. Policymakers should focus on creating clear, consistent, and flexible regulatory frameworks that encourage innovation.

International cooperation is particularly important for addressing regulatory fragmentation. Harmonising environmental standards across jurisdictions can reduce complexity and facilitate the implementation of CER practices.

Additionally, policymakers should recognise the role of legal professionals in shaping corporate behaviour. Providing guidance and resources for legal practitioners can enhance the effectiveness of environmental regulations.

6.6 Theoretical Contributions

This study makes several contributions to the literature. First, it integrates legal perspectives into the CER–innovation discourse, addressing a significant gap in existing research. Second, it extends Stakeholder Theory, RBV, and Institutional Theory by incorporating the role of legal professionals as mediators and institutional entrepreneurs.

Third, the study proposes a multidimensional framework that captures the complex interplay of legal, managerial, and institutional factors. This framework can serve as a foundation for future research on corporate sustainability and innovation.

6.7 Limitations and Future Research

Despite its contributions, the study has limitations. The reliance on secondary data may limit the depth of insights into legal professionals' perspectives. Future research could address this limitation by conducting interviews or case studies.

Additionally, the study focuses on large multinational firms, which may differ from smaller organisations in terms of resources and capabilities. Future research could explore the CER–innovation nexus in different organisational contexts.

6.8 Concluding Insights

In conclusion, the findings and discussion highlight the central role of legal professionals in shaping the relationship between CER and technological innovation. By interpreting regulatory frameworks, managing risks, and guiding strategic decisions, legal professionals contribute to the development of sustainable and innovative corporate practices.

The study underscores the need for an integrated approach that combines legal expertise, technological innovation, and environmental responsibility. Such an approach is essential for addressing the complex challenges of sustainability in a globalised economy.

7. Conclusion

This study has explored the intersection of Corporate Environmental Responsibility (CER) and technological innovation through the narratives and interpretive roles of legal professionals in multinational corporations (MNCs). By adopting a qualitative research methodology based on secondary data, the research has provided a nuanced understanding of how legal frameworks, institutional pressures, and corporate strategies interact to shape environmentally responsible innovation.

The findings demonstrate that legal professionals are not merely compliance enforcers but strategic actors who mediate the relationship between regulation and innovation. Their interpretations of environmental laws significantly influence how firms conceptualise CER and respond to sustainability challenges. In particular, the study shows that regulatory frameworks, often perceived as constraints, can serve as catalysts for technological advancement when strategically interpreted and implemented. This aligns with broader theoretical insights from stakeholder theory, the resource-based view, and institutional theory, all of which highlight the dynamic interplay between external pressures and internal capabilities (Freeman, 1984; Barney, 1991; DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

Furthermore, the research underscores the importance of integrating CER into corporate governance structures, particularly within ESG frameworks. Legal professionals play a crucial role in ensuring compliance, managing risks, and facilitating innovation through the adoption of green and digital technologies. These technologies not only enhance environmental performance but also strengthen organisational resilience and competitive advantage.

However, the study also identifies significant challenges, particularly the complexity of operating across multiple jurisdictions with diverse regulatory requirements. Legal fragmentation creates uncertainties that require adaptive strategies and sophisticated legal expertise. In this context, legal professionals act as institutional intermediaries, navigating regulatory diversity and aligning corporate practices with global sustainability standards.

In conclusion, the study highlights the need for a holistic approach that integrates legal, technological, and environmental considerations in corporate strategy. For multinational firms, this entails recognising legal expertise as a strategic resource and fostering collaboration between legal, managerial, and technological functions. For policymakers, the findings emphasise the importance of designing coherent and innovation-friendly regulatory frameworks. Future research should build on this work by incorporating primary data and exploring sector-specific dynamics to further enrich the understanding of the CER–innovation nexus.

References

Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Management, 38*(4), 932–968.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101.

Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Esposito, E. (2020). Environmental sustainability and technological innovation: A systematic review. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 29*(8), 2931–2946.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality. *American Sociological Review, 48*(2), 147–160.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

Kraus, S., Rehman, S. U., & García, F. J. S. (2020). Corporate social responsibility and environmental performance: The mediating role of innovation. *Sustainability, 12*(2), 1–19.

Mannan, K.A., & Farhana, K.M. (2026). *The Principles of Qur'anic Research Methodology: Deriving the Process of Knowledge from Revelation.* KMF Publishers. Open Access (CC BY 4.0). DOI: https://doi.org/10.64907/xkmf.book.pqrm.26.02.12

Risi, D., Wickert, C., & Huber, C. (2023). Corporate social responsibility and digital transformation: The role of technology in sustainability. *Organisation Studies, 44*(3), 391–414.

Schaltegger, S., Hörisch, J., & Freeman, R. E. (2022). Business cases for sustainability: A stakeholder theory perspective. *Organisation & Environment, 35*(3), 1–23.

Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(3), 571–610.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for systematic reviews. *British Journal of Management, 14*(3), 207–222.

Zhang, L., Chen, H., & Li, Y. (2024). Corporate environmental responsibility and green technological innovation: Evidence from multinational firms. *Finance Research Letters, 59*, 104–118.

Zhao, X., Liu, Y., & Chen, J. (2023). Corporate environmental

responsibility and firm innovation: Empirical evidence from global markets. *Economic Analysis and Policy, 78*, 456–470.