SME REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

Volume: 6 Issue: 3

July-September, 2026

(ISSN:2791-2531)

KMF PUBLISHERS

www.kmf-publishers.com/sme-ra/

Contents lists available at KMF Publishers

SME Review and Analysis

journal homepage: www.kmf-publishers.com/sme-ra/

Research Article

Navigating Organisational Identity and Brand Management in Fashion Technology Companies

Md Tajim Hosen Hridy[1]; Mst. Afsana[1]; Jannatul Mouwa Nabiya[1]; Kazi Abdul Mannan[2]

[1]Department of Fashion Design & Technology
[2]Department of Business Administration
Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Corresponding Author Md Tajim Hosen Hridy, Email: tajimhridy@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
organizational identity; brand management; fashion technology; digital branding; stakeholder co-creation; internal branding; sustainability

ABSTRACT

The convergence of fashion and digital innovation has given rise to fashion technology companies, where organisational identity and brand management are increasingly complex and strategically significant. This study examines how such firms navigate the dynamic interplay between internal identity construction and external brand representation in digitally mediated environments. Drawing on corporate brand identity theory, stakeholder theory, and co-creation theory, the research adopts a qualitative methodology based on secondary data, including academic literature, industry reports, and conceptual analyses. The findings reveal that organisational identity in fashion technology firms is fluid, continuously shaped by technological advancements, stakeholder interactions, and sustainability imperatives. Digital platforms and emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and augmented reality, play a central role in transforming brand management by enabling personalised and immersive consumer experiences. Furthermore, stakeholder co-creation and internal branding are identified as critical mechanisms for aligning organisational identity with brand image. The study contributes to the literature by integrating identity and branding perspectives within a technology-driven context and offers practical insights for organisations seeking to maintain authenticity, consistency, and competitiveness in the evolving fashion landscape.

Received 20 May 2026; Received in revised form 25 June 2026; Accepted 30 June 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64907/xkmf.v6i3.sme-ra.9

1. Introduction

The global fashion industry has entered a transformative era characterised by the convergence of creative design and advanced technological innovation. This evolution has given rise to a new category of firms commonly referred to as fashion technology companies, which integrate digital tools such as artificial intelligence (AI), augmented reality (AR), blockchain, and data analytics into traditional fashion processes. In this rapidly changing environment, organisational identity and brand management have emerged as critical strategic imperatives for sustaining competitive advantage and ensuring long-term growth.

Organisational identity, broadly defined as the central, enduring, and distinctive characteristics of an organisation, plays a foundational role in shaping how firms perceive themselves and how they are perceived by external stakeholders (Hatch & Schultz, 2002). Traditionally, organisational identity was viewed as relatively stable and internally constructed. However, contemporary scholarship suggests that identity is dynamic, socially constructed, and continuously negotiated through interactions with stakeholders (Gioia et al., 2013). This shift is particularly relevant for fashion technology companies, where rapid technological advancements and evolving consumer expectations necessitate ongoing identity adaptation.

Brand management, on the other hand, involves the strategic process of creating, maintaining, and communicating a brand's identity to stakeholders. It encompasses both internal elements, such as organisational culture, values, and vision, and external elements, including brand image, customer perception, and market positioning (Aaker, 1996). In the context of fashion technology, brand management extends beyond traditional marketing practices to include digital engagement, experiential branding, and co-creation with consumers.

The intersection of organisational identity and brand management becomes especially complex in fashion technology firms due to the dual nature of their operations. On one hand, these firms must maintain a strong aesthetic and creative identity rooted in fashion traditions. On the other hand, they must project an image of technological innovation and digital sophistication. Balancing these dimensions requires a nuanced approach to identity construction and brand communication.

Moreover, the proliferation of digital platforms has fundamentally transformed the branding landscape. Social media, e-commerce platforms, and virtual environments have enabled real-time interaction between brands and consumers, thereby increasing the transparency and visibility of organisational practices. As a result, stakeholders, including customers, employees, and online communities, play an active role in shaping brand narratives and organisational identity (Merz et al., 2009). This phenomenon has shifted branding from a firm-controlled process to a collaborative and co-creative endeavour.

Another critical factor influencing organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies is the increasing emphasis on sustainability and ethical practices. Consumers are becoming more conscious of environmental and social issues, prompting firms to incorporate sustainability into their core identity and branding strategies (Chen et al., 2022). Failure to align organisational identity with these expectations can lead to reputational risks and diminished brand equity.

Despite the growing importance of organisational identity and brand management in the fashion technology sector, existing research remains fragmented. While numerous studies have examined branding in the fashion industry and identity in technology firms, there is limited integration of these perspectives in the context of fashion technology. This gap highlights the need for a comprehensive analysis that explores how these firms navigate the interplay between identity and brand management in a digitally driven environment.

Accordingly, this study aims to address the following research questions:

- How do fashion technology companies construct and maintain their organisational identity in a dynamic environment?
- What role does technology play in shaping brand management strategies?
- How do these firms align internal identity with external brand image in the context of stakeholder co-creation?

To answer these questions, the study adopts a qualitative research approach based on secondary data analysis, drawing on academic literature, industry reports, and case studies. By integrating theoretical insights from organisational identity theory, stakeholder theory, and co-creation theory, the research seeks to provide a holistic understanding of branding dynamics in fashion technology companies.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute to both academic literature and managerial practice. From a theoretical perspective, it advances the understanding of organisational identity as a dynamic and co-created construct in technology-driven industries. From a practical perspective, it offers insights into how firms can effectively manage their brand identity in an increasingly complex and competitive environment.

In conclusion, the convergence of fashion and technology presents both opportunities and challenges for organisational identity and brand management. As fashion technology companies continue to evolve, their ability to navigate these complexities will play a crucial role in determining their success in the global marketplace.

2. Literature Review

Organisational identity has long been a central concept in organisational theory, referring to the defining attributes that answer the question, “Who are we as an organisation?” (Albert & Whetten, 1985).

Early conceptualisations emphasised stability and continuity, portraying identity as a relatively fixed construct rooted in organisational history and culture. However, subsequent research has challenged this static view, arguing that organisational identity is dynamic, fluid, and subject to ongoing reinterpretation (Gioia et al., 2013).

Hatch and Schultz (2002) introduced a relational perspective, highlighting the interplay between organisational identity, culture, and image. According to this framework, identity is shaped not only by internal values but also by external perceptions and stakeholder interactions. This perspective is particularly relevant in the context of fashion technology companies, where identity is continuously negotiated in a highly visible and interactive digital environment.

Recent studies further emphasise the role of identity work, whereby organisational members actively construct and reconstruct identity narratives in response to changing circumstances (Brown, 2015). In technology-driven industries, identity work often involves balancing innovation with continuity, as firms strive to maintain a coherent identity while adapting to rapid technological changes.

2.1 Corporate Brand Identity and Brand Management

Corporate brand identity extends the concept of organisational identity to the domain of branding, encompassing the values, vision, and characteristics that an organisation seeks to communicate to stakeholders (Balmer, 2022). It serves as a strategic tool for differentiation and value creation, particularly in competitive industries such as fashion.

Aaker (1996) conceptualised brand identity as a set of associations that a brand aspires to create or maintain. These associations include functional attributes, emotional benefits, and symbolic meanings. Effective brand management requires aligning these elements with organisational identity to ensure consistency and authenticity.

The distinction between brand identity and brand image is critical in this context. While identity represents the intended brand message, image reflects how the brand is perceived by stakeholders. Misalignment between identity and image can lead to confusion, reduced trust, and weakened brand equity (Pich et al., 2020). Therefore, organisations must actively manage this alignment through consistent communication and stakeholder engagement.

In recent years, scholars have highlighted the importance of internal branding in achieving this alignment. Internal branding involves communicating brand values to employees and ensuring that they embody these values in their interactions with customers (Schmidt & Baumgarth, 2018). In fashion technology companies, where innovation and creativity are central to identity, employee alignment is particularly crucial.

2.2 Brand Management in the Fashion Industry

The fashion industry is inherently brand-driven, with brand identity serving as a key differentiator in a crowded marketplace. Fashion brands rely on storytelling, aesthetics, and emotional engagement to create strong connections with consumers (Conti et al., 2020). Unlike utilitarian products, fashion items often carry symbolic meanings related to identity, status, and lifestyle.

However, the industry is also characterised by rapid change and intense competition. Fast fashion, digital disruption, and shifting consumer preferences have challenged traditional branding models, requiring firms to adopt more agile and innovative approaches (Tőkés, 2022). In this context, brand management involves not only maintaining consistency but also embracing change and experimentation.

Sustainability has emerged as a critical dimension of fashion branding. Consumers increasingly expect brands to demonstrate environmental and social responsibility, prompting firms to integrate sustainability into their identity and communication strategies (Chen et al., 2022). This shift has implications for both organisational identity and brand management, as firms must align their practices with their stated values.

2.3 The Rise of Fashion Technology

Fashion technology represents a paradigm shift in the industry, combining design creativity with technological innovation. This includes developments such as digital fashion, wearable devices, AI-driven personalisation, and virtual try-on systems. These technologies have transformed not only production processes but also consumer experiences and brand interactions.

Digital platforms have become central to fashion branding, enabling firms to reach global audiences and engage with consumers in real time. Social media, in particular, has emerged as a powerful tool for brand communication, allowing firms to showcase their identity and interact with stakeholders (Merz et al., 2009). However, it also increases the complexity of brand management, as firms must navigate diverse and sometimes conflicting stakeholder expectations.

The integration of technology into fashion has also blurred the boundaries between physical and digital experiences. Virtual fashion shows, augmented reality fitting rooms, and digital garments are redefining how consumers interact with brands. These innovations require firms to rethink their identity and branding strategies to remain relevant in a digital-first environment.

2.4 Stakeholder Co-Creation and Digital Branding

One of the most significant developments in contemporary branding is the shift toward stakeholder co-creation. Traditional branding models assumed that firms had primary control over brand meaning. However, in the digital age, stakeholders actively participate in shaping brand narratives through social media, online communities, and user-generated content (Von Wallpach et al., 2017).

Co-creation theory posits that value is jointly created by firms and stakeholders through interactions and experiences. This perspective aligns with service-dominant logic, which emphasises the role of customers as active participants rather than passive recipients (Merz et al., 2009). In fashion technology companies, co-creation is particularly evident in practices such as crowdsourced design, influencer collaborations, and interactive marketing campaigns.

While co-creation offers opportunities for enhancing brand authenticity and engagement, it also presents challenges. Firms must balance openness with control, ensuring that stakeholder contributions align with organisational identity and brand values. Failure to manage this balance can lead to fragmented or inconsistent brand narratives.

2.5 Gaps in the Literature

Despite the extensive research on organisational identity and brand management, several gaps remain. First, there is limited integration of these concepts in the context of fashion technology, where the interplay between identity, branding, and technology is particularly complex. Second, existing studies often focus on either internal identity or external branding, without fully exploring their interrelationship.

Third, while the role of stakeholders in co-creating brand identity is widely recognised, there is a need for a deeper understanding of how this process unfolds in technology-driven environments. Finally, the impact of emerging technologies such as AI and virtual reality on organisational identity and brand management remains underexplored.

This study seeks to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive analysis of organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies, integrating theoretical perspectives and empirical insights.

3. Theoretical Framework

The present study is grounded in an integrative theoretical framework that combines corporate brand identity theory, stakeholder theory, and co-creation theory to explain how fashion technology companies navigate organisational identity and brand management in dynamic digital environments. These theoretical lenses collectively provide a comprehensive understanding of how identity is constructed, communicated, and co-evolved with stakeholders.

3.1 Corporate Brand Identity Theory

Corporate brand identity theory extends the concept of organisational identity by emphasising the strategic articulation and communication of an organisation’s core values, vision, and characteristics to external audiences (Balmer, 2022). It posits that a strong corporate brand identity emerges from the alignment of organisational culture, strategy, and communication.

Balmer (2022) argues that corporate brand identity is not merely a symbolic construct but a strategic asset that influences stakeholder perceptions and organisational performance. This perspective aligns with the earlier work of Hatch and Schultz (2002), who conceptualised identity as a dynamic interplay between organisational culture (internal values), organisational image (external perceptions), and strategic vision (future aspirations). Their model suggests that misalignment among these elements can result in identity gaps, which may weaken brand credibility.

In the context of fashion technology companies, corporate brand identity becomes particularly complex due to the dual emphasis on creativity and technological innovation. These firms must simultaneously project aesthetic appeal and technological sophistication, requiring a carefully balanced identity narrative. For instance, a company integrating AI-driven personalisation must align its technological capabilities with its brand's creative ethos to maintain authenticity and coherence.

Furthermore, corporate brand identity theory underscores the importance of internal branding, which involves aligning employees with the organisation's brand values (Schmidt & Baumgarth, 2018). Employees serve as brand ambassadors, and their understanding of the brand significantly influences customer experiences. In fashion technology firms, where innovation and customer interaction are critical, internal alignment becomes a key determinant of brand consistency.

3.2 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory provides a complementary perspective by emphasising the role of various stakeholders in shaping organisational identity and brand meaning. According to Freeman (1984), organisations must consider the interests and influence of all stakeholders, including customers, employees, suppliers, investors, and communities.

In contemporary branding literature, stakeholders are not merely passive recipients of brand messages but active participants in the construction of brand identity (Iglesias et al., 2020). This shift reflects the increasing importance of transparency, engagement, and trust in organisational practices. For fashion technology companies, stakeholder engagement is facilitated by digital platforms, which enable real-time communication and interaction.

Stakeholder theory is particularly relevant in the fashion industry, where consumer preferences and cultural trends play a significant role in shaping brand identity. Fashion technology companies must continuously monitor and respond to stakeholder expectations, including demands for sustainability, ethical practices, and innovation (Chen et al., 2022). Failure to address these expectations can lead to reputational damage and loss of competitive advantage.

Moreover, employees represent a critical stakeholder group in the context of organisational identity. Their perceptions and behaviours influence internal identity formation and external brand representation. Research indicates that strong internal stakeholder alignment enhances brand coherence and organisational performance (Schmidt & Baumgarth, 2018).

3.3 Co-Creation Theory

Co-creation theory builds upon stakeholder theory by emphasising the collaborative nature of value and meaning creation. Rooted in service-dominant logic, co-creation theory posits that value is jointly created by organisations and stakeholders through interactions and experiences (Merz et al., 2009).

In the digital age, co-creation has become a central feature of brand management. Social media platforms, online communities, and digital interfaces enable stakeholders to actively contribute to brand narratives. Von Wallpach et al. (2017) argue that brand identity is performed and negotiated through these interactions, resulting in a more fluid and dynamic understanding of identity.

Fashion technology companies exemplify this phenomenon through practices such as crowdsourced design, influencer collaborations, and user-generated content. These practices not only enhance customer engagement but also contribute to the co-creation of brand identity. However, they also introduce challenges related to control and consistency, as organisations must balance stakeholder contributions with their strategic objectives.

Co-creation theory also highlights the importance of experiential branding, where consumers engage with brands through immersive experiences. Technologies such as augmented reality and virtual reality enable fashion technology firms to create interactive environments that reinforce brand identity and enhance customer satisfaction.

3.4 Integration of Theoretical Perspectives

The integration of corporate brand identity theory, stakeholder theory, and co-creation theory provides a holistic framework for understanding organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies.

- Corporate brand identity theory emphasises internal alignment and strategic communication.
- Stakeholder theory highlights the influence of external actors on identity formation.
- Co-creation theory underscores the collaborative and dynamic nature of branding.

Together, these perspectives suggest that organisational identity is not a fixed construct but a continuously evolving phenomenon shaped by interactions between internal and external stakeholders. Technology acts as a mediating factor that facilitates these interactions and amplifies their impact.

3.5 Conceptual Model

Based on the theoretical integration, this study proposes a conceptual model in which:

- Organisational identity influences brand management strategies.
- Technology mediates the relationship between identity and brand communication.
- Stakeholder engagement drives the co-creation of brand identity.
- Alignment between identity and image enhances brand performance and competitiveness.

This framework serves as the foundation for the empirical analysis presented in subsequent sections.

4. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design based on secondary data analysis to explore the complex relationship between organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies. Qualitative research is particularly suitable for examining socially constructed phenomena, such as identity and branding, as it allows for in-depth interpretation and contextual understanding (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

The research follows an interpretivist paradigm, which assumes that reality is socially constructed and that knowledge is derived from the interpretation of meanings and experiences. This approach is appropriate for understanding how organisational identity is formed and negotiated within dynamic and interactive environments.

4.1 Research Approach

The study employs an exploratory and descriptive approach, aiming to generate insights into an emerging field where existing research is limited. Given the interdisciplinary nature of fashion technology, an exploratory approach enables the integration of diverse perspectives from management, marketing, and technology studies.

The use of secondary data allows the researcher to draw on a wide range of sources, including academic literature, industry reports, and case studies. This approach enhances the breadth and depth of analysis while providing a comprehensive understanding of the research problem.

4.2 Data Sources

Secondary data were collected from multiple sources to ensure a robust and comprehensive dataset. These sources include:

- **Peer-reviewed journal articles** from databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar.
- **Industry reports** from fashion and technology organisations.
- **Case studies** of leading fashion technology companies.
- **Books and theoretical texts** on organisational identity and brand management.

The selection criteria for data sources included relevance, credibility, and recency. Priority was given to peer-reviewed publications and reputable industry reports to ensure the reliability of findings.

4.3 Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process involved a systematic review of relevant literature using keywords such as “organisational identity,” “brand management,” “fashion technology,” and “digital branding.” Boolean search techniques were employed to refine the search results and identify high-quality sources.

The collected data were organised into thematic categories based on the research objectives. These categories included:

- Organisational identity formation
- Brand management strategies
- Role of technology
- Stakeholder engagement

This systematic approach ensured that the data were aligned with the theoretical framework and research questions.

4.4 Data Analysis

The study employs thematic analysis, a widely used qualitative method for identifying, analysing, and interpreting patterns within data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis followed a six-step process:

- Familiarisation with the data
- Generation of initial codes
- Identification of themes
- Review of themes
- Definition and naming of themes
- Interpretation and reporting

Thematic analysis was chosen for its flexibility and suitability for analysing diverse data sources. It enabled the identification of key themes related to organisational identity and brand management, as well as their interrelationships.

4.5 Validity and Reliability

To ensure the rigour of the study, several measures were implemented:

- **Triangulation:** Multiple data sources were used to validate findings and reduce bias.
- **Transparency:** The research process, including data collection and analysis procedures, was clearly documented.
- **Consistency:** Coding and analysis were conducted systematically to ensure reliability.

These measures enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the research findings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

4.6 Ethical Considerations

As the study is based on secondary data, it does not involve direct interaction with human participants. However, ethical considerations were maintained by Mannan & Farhana (2026):

- Properly citing all sources
- Avoiding plagiarism
- Ensuring the integrity of data interpretation

These practices align with academic standards and ethical research guidelines.

4.7 Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, the study has several limitations. First, the reliance on secondary data may limit the ability to capture real-time insights and organisational nuances. Second, the interpretive nature of qualitative research may introduce subjectivity in data analysis.

Additionally, the study focuses on general patterns rather than specific case studies, which may limit its applicability to individual organisations. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating primary data and conducting empirical case studies.

4.8 Justification of Methodology

The chosen methodology is appropriate for the research objectives, as it allows for a comprehensive exploration of complex and evolving phenomena. Qualitative secondary data analysis provides a rich and nuanced understanding of organisational identity and brand management, which would be difficult to achieve through quantitative methods alone.

5. Findings and Analysis

The analysis of secondary data reveals several interrelated themes that characterise how fashion technology companies navigate organisational identity and brand management. These themes include the dynamic and fluid nature of organisational identity, the transformative role of digital technologies, the strategic importance of internal branding, the rise of stakeholder co-creation, and the critical need for alignment between identity and image.

5.1 The Dynamic and Fluid Nature of Organisational Identity

One of the most prominent findings is that organisational identity in fashion technology companies is inherently dynamic rather than static. Unlike traditional organisations, where identity is often rooted in historical continuity and stable values, fashion technology firms operate in environments characterised by rapid change and constant innovation. As a result, their identity is continuously reconstructed through internal and external interactions.

This observation aligns with the work of Gioia et al. (2013), who argue that organisational identity is not fixed but evolves in response to environmental changes and stakeholder expectations. In fashion technology companies, this evolution is driven by technological advancements, shifting consumer preferences, and competitive pressures. For example, firms adopting artificial intelligence for personalised fashion recommendations must integrate technological competence into their identity while preserving their creative ethos.

Moreover, identity work plays a crucial role in this process. Organisational members actively interpret and redefine the organisation's identity to align with new strategic directions (Brown, 2015). In fashion technology firms, this often involves reconciling tensions between traditional fashion values, such as craftsmanship and aesthetics, and technological innovation. The ability to manage these tensions effectively is a key determinant of organisational coherence and brand strength.

5.2 Technology as a Catalyst for Brand Transformation

Technology emerges as a central driver of brand transformation in fashion technology companies. Digital tools such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality, and data analytics enable firms to create personalised and immersive customer experiences, thereby enhancing brand engagement.

The integration of technology into branding processes reflects the shift toward a service-dominant logic, where value is co-created through interactions rather than embedded in products (Merz et al., 2009). For instance, virtual try-on systems and augmented reality applications allow consumers to interact with products in innovative ways, reinforcing brand identity through experiential engagement.

Furthermore, digital platforms have transformed the communication landscape, enabling real-time interaction between brands and stakeholders. Social media, in particular, serves as a critical channel for expressing brand identity and engaging with consumers. However, this increased visibility also exposes brands to greater scrutiny, making consistency and authenticity more important than ever.

The findings suggest that technology not only enhances brand management capabilities but also reshapes the very nature of organisational identity. Firms must continuously adapt their identity to reflect technological advancements, thereby maintaining relevance in a rapidly evolving market.

5.3 Internal Branding and Organisational Alignment

Another key finding is the importance of internal branding in ensuring alignment between organisational identity and brand communication. Internal branding involves communicating brand values to employees and fostering a shared understanding of the organisation's identity (Schmidt & Baumgarth, 2018).

In fashion technology companies, employees play a critical role in delivering brand experiences, particularly in customer-facing roles and digital interactions. Their behaviour and communication directly influence how the brand is perceived by stakeholders. Therefore, ensuring that employees internalise and embody brand values is essential for maintaining consistency and authenticity.

The analysis indicates that firms with strong internal branding practices are better able to align their internal identity with external brand image. This alignment enhances brand credibility and strengthens customer trust. Conversely, weak internal alignment can lead to inconsistencies in brand communication, undermining brand equity.

5.4 Stakeholder Co-Creation of Brand Identity

The findings highlight the growing importance of stakeholder co-creation in shaping brand identity. In the digital age, consumers and other stakeholders actively participate in the creation and dissemination of brand narratives through social media, online communities, and user-generated content.

Von Wallpach et al. (2017) argue that brand identity is performed and negotiated through interactions between organisations and stakeholders. This perspective is particularly relevant for fashion technology companies, where digital platforms facilitate continuous engagement and collaboration.

For example, influencer marketing and crowdsourced design initiatives allow consumers to contribute to brand development, enhancing engagement and fostering a sense of ownership. These practices not only strengthen customer relationships but also contribute to the authenticity and relevance of the brand.

However, stakeholder co-creation also presents challenges. Firms must balance openness and control, ensuring that stakeholder contributions align with organisational identity and strategic objectives. Failure to manage this balance can result in fragmented or inconsistent brand narratives.

5.5 Alignment Between Organisational Identity and Brand Image

A critical finding of the study is the importance of aligning organisational identity with brand image. Brand identity represents the intended message communicated by the organisation, while brand image reflects how this message is perceived by stakeholders (Pich et al., 2020).

The analysis reveals that successful fashion technology companies prioritise consistency across all touchpoints, including digital platforms, physical stores, and marketing campaigns. This consistency reinforces brand identity and enhances customer trust.

Misalignment between identity and image, on the other hand, can lead to confusion and reduced credibility. For instance, a company that promotes sustainability but fails to implement environmentally responsible practices may face reputational risks. Therefore, maintaining alignment requires continuous monitoring and adaptation to ensure that organisational practices are consistent with brand communication.

5.6 Sustainability and Ethical Branding as Identity Drivers

Sustainability has emerged as a key driver of organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies. Consumers increasingly expect brands to demonstrate environmental and social responsibility, influencing both identity formation and brand communication (Chen et al., 2022).

The findings indicate that firms integrating sustainability into their core identity are better positioned to build strong and authentic brands. This integration involves not only communicating sustainability initiatives but also embedding them into organisational practices and values.

However, achieving this integration requires significant organisational commitment and strategic alignment. Superficial or symbolic efforts may lead to accusations of "greenwashing," undermining brand credibility and trust.

Overall, the findings suggest that organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies are deeply interconnected and influenced by multiple factors, including technology, stakeholder engagement, and sustainability. These factors interact in complex ways, creating both opportunities and challenges for firms.

The dynamic nature of identity, the transformative role of technology, and the collaborative process of co-creation highlight the need for flexible and adaptive branding strategies. At the same time, the importance of internal alignment and consistency underscores the need for strong organisational foundations.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study contribute to the ongoing reconceptualisation of organisational identity as a dynamic and socially constructed phenomenon. Traditional views of identity as stable and enduring are increasingly inadequate in the context of fashion technology, where rapid change and digital interaction redefine organisational boundaries.

Consistent with Gioia et al. (2013), the study demonstrates that organisational identity is continuously negotiated through interactions with stakeholders. In digital environments, these interactions are amplified by the speed and reach of communication technologies, resulting in a more fluid and adaptive identity.

This reconceptualisation has important implications for theory and practice. It suggests that organisations must move beyond static identity frameworks and embrace a more flexible approach that allows for continuous adaptation and evolution.

6.1 The Strategic Role of Technology in Branding

The study highlights the central role of technology as a strategic enabler of brand management. Rather than being a mere tool, technology shapes the ways in which brands are created, communicated, and experienced.

The integration of digital technologies into branding processes aligns with the concept of service-dominant logic, where value is co-created through interactions (Merz et al., 2009). Fashion technology companies leverage technologies such as AI and AR to create personalised and immersive experiences, enhancing customer engagement and reinforcing brand identity.

However, the strategic use of technology also requires careful management. Firms must ensure that technological innovations align with their brand identity and values, avoiding inconsistencies that could undermine credibility.

6.2 Stakeholder Engagement and Co-Creation

The findings underscore the importance of stakeholder engagement in contemporary branding. Stakeholders are no longer passive recipients of brand messages but active participants in the co-creation of brand identity (Von Wallpach et al., 2017).

This shift reflects broader changes in the marketing landscape, where transparency, authenticity, and engagement are increasingly valued. Fashion technology companies must therefore adopt participatory approaches to branding, involving stakeholders in meaningful ways.

At the same time, co-creation presents challenges related to control and consistency. Organisations must balance the need for stakeholder input with the need to maintain a coherent and consistent brand identity. This requires effective governance mechanisms and strategic communication.

6.3 Internal Branding as a Foundation for Consistency

The discussion highlights the critical role of internal branding in achieving alignment between organisational identity and brand image. Employees serve as key mediators between the organisation and its stakeholders, influencing how the brand is perceived.

Strong internal branding practices ensure that employees understand and embody the organisation's values, enhancing consistency and authenticity (Schmidt & Baumgarth, 2018). In fashion technology companies, where innovation and customer interaction are central, this alignment is particularly important.

The findings suggest that internal branding should be viewed as a strategic priority rather than a peripheral activity. Organisations must invest in training, communication, and cultural development to ensure alignment between internal identity and external brand representation.

6.4 Sustainability as a Core Element of Identity

The study also emphasises the growing importance of sustainability as a core element of organisational identity and brand management. Consumers increasingly expect brands to demonstrate ethical and environmental responsibility, influencing their perceptions and purchasing decisions (Chen et al., 2022).

Integrating sustainability into organisational identity requires more than symbolic communication; it involves embedding sustainability into organisational practices and values. This integration enhances brand authenticity and builds trust with stakeholders.

However, achieving this integration can be challenging, particularly for firms operating in fast-paced and competitive environments. Organisations must balance economic objectives with social and environmental responsibilities, requiring strategic alignment and long-term commitment.

6.5 Implications for Theory

The study contributes to the literature by integrating organisational identity theory, stakeholder theory, and co-creation theory in the context of fashion technology. It highlights the interconnectedness of these perspectives and their relevance for understanding contemporary branding dynamics.

Specifically, the study extends existing theories by demonstrating how technology mediates the relationship between organisational identity and brand management. It also provides insights into the role of stakeholder co-creation in shaping identity, emphasising the need for a more holistic and dynamic theoretical framework.

6.6 Implications for Practice

From a managerial perspective, the findings offer several practical implications:

- **Adopt a dynamic approach to identity management:** Organizations should continuously monitor and adapt their identity to reflect changing conditions.
- **Leverage technology strategically:** Firms should integrate technology into their branding strategies to enhance engagement and innovation.
- **Engage stakeholders in co-creation:** Organisations should involve stakeholders in meaningful ways to build authentic and relevant brands.
- **Invest in internal branding:** Ensuring employee alignment is essential for maintaining consistency and credibility.

- **Integrate sustainability into identity:** Firms should embed sustainability into their core values and practices to meet stakeholder expectations.

6.7 Future Research Directions

The study identifies several areas for future research. First, empirical studies using primary data could provide deeper insights into organisational practices and stakeholder perceptions. Second, comparative studies across different industries could enhance understanding of the generalizability of findings.

Finally, the impact of emerging technologies such as blockchain and the metaverse on organisational identity and brand management represents a promising area for future exploration.

7. Conclusion

This study has explored the complex and evolving relationship between organisational identity and brand management within fashion technology companies, emphasising the transformative impact of digital innovation and stakeholder engagement. The findings demonstrate that organisational identity is no longer a fixed or internally controlled construct; rather, it is dynamic, fluid, and continuously co-created through interactions with a diverse range of stakeholders. This shift reflects broader changes in the business environment, where digital platforms and emerging technologies have redefined how organisations communicate, operate, and engage with their audiences.

A key conclusion of this research is that technology functions not merely as an operational tool but as a strategic enabler that shapes both identity and brand management practices. Technologies such as artificial intelligence, augmented reality, and data analytics facilitate personalised and immersive consumer experiences, thereby reinforcing brand identity and enhancing customer engagement. However, the integration of technology also requires organisations to carefully align innovation with their core values and brand narratives to maintain authenticity and coherence.

The study further highlights the critical role of internal branding in ensuring consistency between organisational identity and external brand image. Employees serve as vital intermediaries in the branding process, and their alignment with organisational values significantly influences stakeholder perceptions. Consequently, organisations must invest in internal communication, training, and cultural development to strengthen internal brand equity.

Additionally, the increasing importance of sustainability underscores the need for organisations to integrate ethical and environmental considerations into their identity and branding strategies. Consumers are increasingly attentive to corporate responsibility, and firms that fail to align their practices with their stated values risk reputational damage and diminished trust.

In conclusion, navigating organisational identity and brand management in fashion technology companies requires a holistic and adaptive approach. Organisations must balance innovation with authenticity, engage stakeholders in meaningful ways, and ensure alignment between internal identity and external representation. Future research could build on these insights by incorporating empirical data and examining the impact of emerging technologies such as the metaverse and blockchain on branding dynamics.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1996). *Building strong brands*. Free Press.

Albert, S., & Whetten, D. A. (1985). Organisational identity. *Research in Organisational Behaviour*, 7, 263–295.

Balmer, J. M. T. (2022). Corporate brand identity and corporate brand management. *Journal of Brand Management*.

Baumgarth, C., & Schmidt, M. (2010). How strong is the business-to-business brand in the workforce? *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(8), 1250–1260.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

Brown, A. D. (2015). Identities and identity work in organisations. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 17(1), 20–40.

Chen, L., Halepoto, H., Liu, C., Yan, X., & Qiu, L. (2022). Research on the influencing mechanism of fashion brand image value creation. *Sustainability*, 14(13), 7524.

Conti, G. M., Mazzola, A., Motta, M., & Pillan, M. (2020). Fashion and brand awareness: An evidence-based approach. *Journal of Textile Science and Technology*, 6(4), 150–165.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.

Gioia, D. A., Patvardhan, S. D., Hamilton, A. L., & Corley, K. G. (2013). Organisational identity formation and change. *Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 123–193.

Hatch, M. J., & Schultz, M. (2002). The dynamics of organisational identity. *Human Relations*, 55(8), 989–1018.

Iglesias, O., Ind, N., & Alfaro, M. (2020). The organic view of the brand. *Journal of Brand Management*.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.

Mannan, K.A., & Farhana, K.M. (2026). *The Principles of Qur'anic Research Methodology: Deriving the Process of Knowledge from Revelation*. KMF Publishers. Open Access (CC BY 4.0). DOI: https://doi.org/10.64907/xkmf.book.pqrm.26.02.12

Merz, M. A., He, Y., & Vargo, S. L. (2009). The evolving brand logic. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 37(3), 328–344.

Pich, C., Dean, D., & Punjaisri, K. (2020). Managing brand identity. *Journal of Brand Management*.

Schmidt, H. J., & Baumgarth, C. (2018). Strengthening internal brand equity. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 27(6), 689–703.

Tőkés, G. E. (2022). The digital brand identity of fast-fashion brands. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae*.

Von Wallpach, S., Hemetsberger, A., & Espersen, P. (2017). Performing identities. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 443–452.