ASIAN MICROECONOMIC REVIEW

Research Article

Business Communication Through Packaging Design: A Consumer-Centred Study

Priyo Rozario[1]; Kazi Abdul Mannan[2]

[1]Department of Graphic Design & Multimedia
[2]Department of Business Administration
Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh
 Correspondence: Priyo Rozario: Email: iampriyo01@gmail.com

Abstract

Packaging is more than a protective shell: it is a critical communication channel between brand and consumer. This paper investigates how packaging functions as a business-communication tool from a consumer-centred perspective. Drawing on semiotics, sensory marketing, and experiential consumption theories, the study uses a qualitative methodology—semi-structured interviews and focus groups with diverse consumers—to examine how packaging elements (colour, typography, imagery, materiality, shape, and information architecture) influence perceptions of brand meaning, product quality, trust, and purchase intention. Thematic analysis reveals that consumers interpret packaging through three overlapping communicative pathways: symbolic/identity cues, functional/ informational cues, and sensory/experiential cues. The findings show that effective packaging design aligns these pathways with brand strategy and consumer expectations and that mismatches (e.g., premium cues on a low-quality product) can erode trust. The paper concludes with managerial implications for packaging strategy, design guidelines for consumer-centred communication, limitations, and directions for future research.

Keywords: Packaging design; business communication; consumer-centred; semiotics; sensory marketing; qualitative research; thematic analysis.

1. Introduction

Packaging is often relegated to the status of a logistical necessity in business discussions—an afterthought following product development and advertising strategy. Yet packaging occupies a unique position at the intersection of product, brand, retail environment, and consumer interaction. It performs multiple functions simultaneously: containment and protection, logistical efficiency, legal compliance, and—crucially—communication (Rundh, 2005; Underwood & Klein, 2002). In contemporary marketplaces saturated with choices, packaging frequently serves as the first and sometimes only brand contact point for consumers making purchase decisions (Underwood, 2003).

As marketers and designers seek more integrated and consumer-centred approaches, it becomes essential to conceptualise packaging as an active participant in business communication—a medium that transmits brand values, product promises, instructions for use, and sensory expectations. Despite a growing literature on packaging's role in consumer behaviour and branding, much of the empirical work relies on quantitative methods and feature-based evaluations (e.g., conjoint analyses), leaving rich interpretive dimensions underexplored (Silayoi & Speece, 2004; Silayoi & Speece, 2007). This study addresses that gap by approaching packaging through a consumer-centred qualitative lens, focusing on how consumers decode packaging messages and the communicative effects these messages produce.

The research questions guiding this paper are:

- How do consumers interpret different packaging elements as communicative signals?
- Through what cognitive and affective mechanisms do packaging cues influence perceptions of brand, product quality, and purchase intention?
- What design principles can managers and designers adopt to enhance packaging's role as an effective business communication tool?

The article proceeds with a literature review, followed by a theoretical framework synthesising semiotics and sensory marketing, a detailed account of the qualitative methodology, findings from thematic analysis, a discussion linking findings with theory, practical recommendations, limitations, and a concluding section.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Packaging as Marketing and Communication

Packaging has been conceptualised as a marketing mix element that interacts with price, product, place, and promotion to shape consumer choices (Kotler & Keller, 2016). Rundh (2005) argues that packaging sits at the interface of supply chain logistics and marketing communication—functioning to

protect the product while also conveying information and symbolism. Underwood (2003) emphasised packaging's communicative role, noting that packaging can increase perceived product differentiation and serve as a brand ambassador at the shelf.

2.2 Semiotics and Packaging Meaning

Semiotic perspectives consider packaging as a sign system where elements (signifiers) such as colour, typography, and imagery signify concepts (signifieds) like luxury, naturalness, or health (Barthes, 1964/1972). Packaging semiotics helps explain why certain visual features elicit specific cultural or emotional associations (Hines & Bruce, 2007). Deconstruction of packaging through semiotic analysis reveals layered meanings—denotative (literal) and connotative (associative)—that consumers use when making inferences about product attributes and brand identity (Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006).

2.3 Sensory Marketing and Haptics

Packaging is not only visual but also tactile and, in some channels, olfactory and auditory (e.g., the sound of a crisp box). Sensory marketing literature emphasises how multi-sensory cues shape consumer experiences and memory (Hultén, 2011). Van Rompay and Pruyn (2011) and others have shown that haptic cues (material texture, weight) influence perceptions of product quality and value. These sensory cues often operate implicitly, influencing judgment through embodied cognition processes (Krishna, 2012).

2.4 Informational and Functional Cues

Information architecture on packaging—ingredient lists, certifications, usage instructions—serves utilitarian information needs. Consumers rely on these elements for safety, health, and ethical considerations (Silayoi & Speece, 2004). The credibility of informational cues is moderated by clarity, regulatory trust, and perceived authenticity (Grunert, 2005).

2.5 Packaging and Brand Equity

Packaging contributes to brand equity by enhancing brand recognition, perceived quality, and brand associations (Aaker, 1996). Empirical studies demonstrate packaging's capacity to strengthen brand salience when design elements are consistent with brand identity and communicated across touchpoints (Keller, 2003). However, inconsistencies between packaging cues and brand messaging can dilute brand trust or confuse consumers (Percy & Rossiter, 1992).

2.6 Gaps in the Literature

Most empirical work employs quantitative designs—conjoint analyses, experiments, and surveys—focusing on feature importance and correlational relationships (Silayoi & Speece, 2004; van Rompay et al., 2010). While these approaches are valuable for measuring effect sizes, they are limited in capturing the interpretive, contextual, and relational aspects of how consumers make

meaning from packaging. Qualitative, consumer-centred studies are needed to reveal deeper mechanisms and contextual contingencies that shape packaging's communicative efficacy.

3. Theoretical Framework

To interpret packaging as business communication, this study integrates three theoretical perspectives:

Semiotics (Barthes, 1964/1972; Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006): Packaging elements are signs. Semiotics explains how consumers decode symbolic meanings and how cultural codes influence interpretation. This framework foregrounds denotation/connotation, indexicality (cues that point to qualities), and mythologies (broader cultural narratives embedded in design).

Sensory Marketing and Embodied Cognition (Krishna, 2012; Hultén, 2011): Packaging engages multiple senses; sensory cues influence affect, memory encoding, and judgments of quality. Embodied cognition posits that physical interactions with packaging shape cognitive evaluations via bodily experiences.

Information Processing and Trust (Grunert, 2005; Keller, 2003): Consumers process informational cues selectively depending on motivation and ability. Source credibility and perceived authenticity moderate the persuasive impact of informational content.

Combining these perspectives yields a conceptual model: packaging communicates through three overlapping pathways—symbolic/identity, sensory/experiential, and functional/informational—and consumer interpretation is shaped by cultural codes, situational context, prior brand knowledge, and sensory interaction (Figure 1). The model predicts that alignment across pathways (e.g., symbolic cues matching sensory and informational cues) increases perceived product–brand congruence and purchase intention, while misalignment decreases trust.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

A qualitative, interpretivist approach was adopted to explore how consumers decode packaging as a business communication medium. The aim was to gain depth and richness, capturing subjective meanings and contextualised interpretations rather than quantifying variable relationships.

4.2 Sampling and Participants

Using purposive sampling to capture diverse consumer perspectives, 36 participants were recruited across three metropolitan areas. The sample included variation in age (18–65), gender, education, and shopping habits (frequent grocery shoppers, speciality product buyers, online shoppers). Recruitment was done via social media announcements and local community boards. Table 1 (Appendix A) summarises participant demographics.

4.3 Data Collection

Data were collected through:

Semi-structured interviews (n = 20): 60–90 minutes each, focusing on participants' packaging perceptions, memorable packaging experiences, and decision-making heuristics. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Focus groups (n = 4 groups, 4 participants each): 90-minute sessions to explore social and comparative aspects of packaging interpretation, encouraging participants to discuss and contrast packaging examples. In each focus group, participants interacted with sample packages (photographs and physical samples when possible).

Visual elicitation tasks: Participants were shown 30 diverse package images (food, cosmetics, consumer electronics, household cleaners) and asked to "think aloud" about immediate impressions, perceived product attributes, and brand expectations.

The sample size and mixed qualitative techniques allowed triangulation and saturation of themes.

4.4 Data Analysis

Transcripts and visual elicitation notes were analysed using reflexive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Analysis proceeded through iterative coding cycles:

- Familiarisation with data (reading transcripts and field notes).
- Generating initial codes (open coding for packaging elements and interpretive responses).
- Developing candidate themes (grouping codes into higher-order themes).
- Reviewing themes against data (ensuring coherence and distinctness).
- Defining and naming themes (finalising thematic map).
- Producing the report with illustrative quotes.

NVivo (or similar qualitative software) supported data organisation. Inter-coder discussions were used to enhance reflexivity; however, the primary author conducted coding to maintain deep familiarity.

4.5 Ethical Considerations

The study complied with institutional ethical guidelines. Participants provided informed consent, were assured of anonymity, and could withdraw at any time. Data were stored securely, and pseudonyms were used in reporting.

4.6 Trustworthiness

Trustworthiness was addressed via triangulation (interviews + focus groups + visual elicitation), thick description, member-checking (summary themes shared with a subset of participants for validation), and audit trails documenting analytic decisions (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

5. Findings

Three primary thematic clusters emerged from the data: (1) Symbolic/Identity Communication, (2) Functional/Informational Communication,

and (3) Sensory/Experiential Communication. Within and across these clusters, several sub-themes capture the nuanced ways packaging communicates to consumers.

5.1 Theme 1: Symbolic/Identity Communication

5.1.1 Brand Identity and First Impressions

Participants consistently described packaging as a "first handshake" with a brand. Visual markers such as logo placement, typography, and colour palettes were frequently referenced when participants inferred brand personality (e.g., "modern", "trustworthy", "playful"). One participant noted: "If the font looks old-school and serif, I immediately assume it's a heritage brand—maybe higher quality or classic." (P14, female, 34).

5.1.2 Social Signalling and Self-Expression

Several participants viewed packaging as a means of social signalling—both in-store and post-purchase (e.g., placing attractive packages on a kitchen counter). Premium-looking packaging was associated with aspirational identity. A young professional said: "I buy oat milk with a minimalist design because it fits my kitchen aesthetic and says something about my lifestyle." (P7, male, 28).

5.1.3 Cultural Codes and Interpretive Variability

Cultural meanings attached to certain colours or motifs varied across participants. While green frequently signalled "natural" or "eco-friendly," some participants noted that green could also connote "artificial" depending on context (e.g., neon green associated with synthetic flavours). This highlights how semiotic interpretation is culturally and contextually mediated.

5.2 Theme 2: Functional/Informational Communication

5.2.1 Clarity and Trustworthiness of Information

Participants expressed high reliance on packaging information for safety, dietary preferences, and certifications (e.g., organic, halal, fair trade). Clear, legible labelling enhanced perceived transparency and trust. Conversely, cluttered or ambiguous information generated scepticism: "Tiny type or marketing-speak like 'natural flavour' makes me suspicious." (P3, female, 45).

5.2.2 Regulatory and Certification Cues

Third-party certifications were powerful communicative signals. Participants often used certifications as heuristics to shortcut deeper evaluation, particularly for health-related products. However, some were sceptical of "self-declared" claims lacking credible seals.

5.2.3 Usability and Instructional Communication

Functional features—resealable zips, clear use instructions, and measurements—were valued for convenience and directly impacted repurchase decisions. Packaging that made usage easier was seen as reflecting brand empathy towards consumers' daily routines.

5.3 Theme 3: Sensory/Experiential Communication

5.3.1 Haptic Quality and Perceptions of Value

Material weight, texture, and opening experience (e.g., click of a lid) contributed strongly to perceived product value. Participants equated heavier materials and textured finishes with premium quality and durability. "When the box feels solid, I think they invested in the product, not just marketing." (P21, male, 52).

5.3.2 Olfactory and Auditory Cues

Although less frequently discussed, smell (e.g., scent of a cosmetic sample when opening a sealed pack) and sound (e.g., crispness of a chip bag) were noted as memory triggers and pleasure points. Participants reported stronger brand recall when packaging engaged multiple senses during the unboxing experience.

5.3.3 Unboxing and Rituals

Unboxing emerged as a ritualistic moment for some consumers, especially for premium or gift items. The sequence of discovering the product, nested compartments, and little branded touches was emotionally rewarding and often led to social sharing (photos on social media).

5.4 Theme 4: Alignment and Mismatch Effects

A cross-cutting theme concerned alignment among symbolic, informational, and sensory cues. Consistency across cues led to stronger perceived authenticity and higher purchase intention. For example, a sustainably positioned brand with recycled-feel materials, clear eco-certifications, and muted earthy graphics was seen as authentic. Mismatches (e.g., glossy, heavy packaging for an ostensibly "eco" product) generated cognitive dissonance and distrust: "If the box screams luxury but the ingredients are cheap, I feel tricked." (P9, female, 31).

5.5 Theme 5: Contextual and Situational Moderators

Participants stated that packaging's communicative influence varied by context: impulse vs. planned purchase, online vs. in-store, product category, and prior brand familiarity. For online shopping, imagery and product descriptions (digital packaging proxies) mattered more; for in-store shopping, tactile cues and shelf presence were decisive. Repeat purchasers relied less on packaging cues, while new-to-category shoppers leaned heavily on packaging signals.

6. Discussion

6.1 Packaging as Multi-Pathway Communicator

The findings support the theoretical model that packaging communicates through symbolic, informational, and sensory pathways. Each pathway contributes distinct but interrelated meanings. Symbolic cues shape brand identity and social signalling; informational cues provide utilitarian trust-building content; sensory cues create embodied evaluations and memory. This multi-pathway perspective aligns with prior theoretical assertions (Underwood, 2003; Krishna, 2012) and extends them by showing how consumers weigh and integrate these pathways depending on context and prior knowledge.

6.2 The Role of Alignment in Credibility and Purchase Intention

A major insight is the centrality of alignment among packaging cues. When symbolic design, sensory quality, and informational transparency cohere, packaging operates as a persuasive and credible business-communication device. This confirms semiotic theory's emphasis on coherence between sign systems and intended meanings (Barthes, 1964/1972) and adds managerial specificity: designers should audit packaging for cross-cue consistency to avoid consumer dissonance. The mismatch effect observed—where conflicting cues erode trust—resonates with findings from branding literature regarding incongruent signals and consumer scepticism (Keller, 2003).

6.3 Packaging as a Trust Mechanism

Informational clarity and credible certifications emerged as pivotal trust enhancers, particularly in categories with safety or ethical concerns. The reliance on heuristics—using certifications or visible ingredient lists—indicates that packaging functions as a quick credibility cue in low-ability or low-motivation situations (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986). Managers should therefore prioritise verifiable claims and accessible labelling to build trust.

6.4 Sensory Cues: Implicit Persuasion and Memory Encoding

Sensory attributes, especially haptics, had a substantial impact on perceived quality and willingness to repurchase. These effects often occurred outside conscious deliberation, suggesting that packaging design can harness implicit persuasion through material and interaction design. The importance of unboxing rituals also points to an experiential economy dynamic: packaging that offers pleasurable interactions can generate word-of-mouth and social media visibility—an amplified business-communication outcome (Pine & Gilmore, 1999).

6.5 Practical Implications for Managers and Designers

From a business-communication standpoint, packaging should be treated as a strategic

channel requiring cross-functional coordination (marketing, design, supply chain, legal). Key recommendations include:

- Define Brand–Packaging Fit: Establish clear brand codes (colour, typography, tone) and ensure packaging embodies them consistently across markets and SKUs.
- Prioritise Informational Legibility: Use typography hierarchies and layout principles to make key claims and instructions immediately accessible.
- Design for Sensory Interaction: Select materials and structural features that align with brand positioning (e.g., soft-touch coatings for premium skincare).
- Audit for Alignment: Create a pre-launch checklist to evaluate congruence among symbolic, sensory, and informational cues.
- Consider Contextual Use: Tailor packaging strategies for channels (e.g., reinforced protective packaging and strong imagery for e-commerce).
- Leverage Unboxing in Experience Design: For premium or gift segments, consider nested packaging and branded surprises that encourage social sharing.

These recommendations translate packaging from a production artefact into an active medium for controlled and strategic business communication.

6.6. Limitations

This study's qualitative design prioritises depth and interpretive nuance over statistical generalizability. The purposive sample, while diverse, cannot represent the full heterogeneity of global consumers. The visual elicitation set, although broad, may not encompass all packaging archetypes. Future studies could complement these findings with experimental and cross-cultural quantitative work to test boundary conditions and effect sizes.

Additionally, the study primarily captured self-reported perceptions and in-lab interactions with packaging samples, which may differ from real-world purchase behaviours under time constraints or social influences. Observational or field studies (e.g., eye-tracking in stores, e-commerce A/B tests) would strengthen ecological validity.

6.7. Future Research Directions

Building on the present findings, future research could explore:

- Cross-Cultural Semiotics: Systematic cross-national studies to map cultural variations in packaging sign interpretation.
- Longitudinal Effects: How packaging redesigns affect brand equity and loyalty over time.
- Digital Packaging: Investigate how digital representations and "virtual packaging" (e.g., online product pages, AR previews) translate sensory cues and whether digital proxies can replicate haptic impacts.

- Neuroscientific Approaches: Use neuroimaging or psychophysiological measures to capture implicit sensory responses to packaging.
- Sustainability Trade-offs: Consumer responses to sustainability-focused packaging that may conflict with conventional sensory cues (e.g., lighter, thinner materials perceived as less premium).

7. Conclusion

Packaging is a multifaceted communication tool that shapes consumer perceptions of brand identity, product quality, trust, and experiential value. From a consumer-centred vantage, packaging communicates via symbolic, informational, and sensory pathways—each contributing to overall evaluation. Alignment across these pathways is essential: coherent packaging strengthens credibility and purchase likelihood, while misaligned signals produce scepticism and potentially damage brand equity.

For practitioners, packaging should be designed strategically—with explicit alignment to brand promise, clear informational hierarchies, and sensory experiences that reinforce the intended positioning. As marketplaces fragment across online and offline channels, designers and marketers must carefully orchestrate how packaging functions across contexts.

This study contributes to packaging scholarship by foregrounding consumer interpretive processes and offering a multi-pathway conceptualisation that can guide both empirical research and managerial practice.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1996). Building strong brands. Free Press.

Barthes, R. (1972). Mythologies (A. Lavers, Trans.). Hill and Wang. (Original work published 1957)

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Grunert, K. G. (2005). Food quality and safety: Consumer perception and demand. European Review of Agricultural Economics, 32(3), 369–391.

Hultén, B. (2011). Sensory marketing: The multi-sensory brand-experience concept. European Business Review, 23(3), 256–273.

Keller, K. L. (2003). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity (2nd ed.). Prentice Hall.

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing management (15th ed.). Pearson.

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Reading images: The grammar of visual design (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Krishna, A. (2012). An integrative review of sensory marketing: Engaging the senses to affect perception, judgment,

and behaviour. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 22(3), 332–351.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Sage.

Percy, L., & Rossiter, J. R. (1992). A model of brand awareness and brand attitude advertising strategies. Psychology & Marketing, 9(4), 263–274.

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change. Springer.

Pine, B. J., II, & Gilmore, J. H. (1999). The experience economy: Work is theatre & every business a stage. Harvard Business School Press.

Rundh, B. (2005). The role of packaging within marketing and value creation. British Food Journal, 107(11), 670–690.

Silayoi, P., & Speece, M. (2004). Packaging and purchase decisions: An exploratory study on the impact of involvement level and time pressure. British Food Journal, 106(8), 607–628.

Silayoi, P., & Speece, M. (2007). The importance of packaging attributes: A conjoint analysis approach. European Journal of Marketing, 41(11/12), 1495–1517.

Underwood, R. L. (2003). The communicative power of product packaging: Creating brand identity via lived and mediated experience.

Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 11(1), 62–76.

Underwood, R. L., & Klein, N. M. (2002). Packaging as brand communication: Effects of product pictures on consumer responses to the package and brand. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 10(4), 58–68.

Van Rompay, T. J. L., & Pruyn, A. T. H. (2011). When visual product features speak the same language: The congruence between product design and packaging colour enhances product evaluations and blind product tasting. International Journal of Design, 5(1), 3–12.

Appendix A — Participant Demographics (summary)

Total participants: 36

Gender: 20 female, 16 male

Age range: 18–65 (mean ~36)

Education: High school to postgraduate

Primary shopping modes: In-store (n = 22), online (n = 14)