RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN

The Impact of Visual Branding on Consumer Trust in Business Communication

Sara Tasnim Sayma[1], Mithila Anjum Snigdha[1], Nabila Tabassum[1], Mst. Emama[1], Kazi Abdul Mannan[2]

Visual branding—logos, typography, colour, imagery, packaging, and visual layout—functions as a central channel through which firms communicate identity, promises, and competence to consumers. This article synthesises extant literature and develops a theoretical framework linking visual branding to consumer trust in business communication. Drawing on brand equity theory, signalling theory, cue-utilisation approaches, semiotics, and trust theory, it proposes a grounded qualitative research design to explore how consumers interpret visual brand cues and how those interpretations shape trust formation. The proposed methodology uses purposive sampling, semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and visual content analysis, analysed via thematic analysis and abductive grounded theory procedures. The paper concludes with expected findings, managerial implications, methodological limitations, and avenues for future research. This integrative review and methodological blueprint aim to help scholars and practitioners better understand the mechanisms by which visual branding influences trust—an outcome central to long-term customer relationships and firm performance.

Keywords: branding, logos, typography, colour, imagery, packaging, visual layout.

[1]Department of Graphic Design & Multimedia
[2]Department of Business Administration, Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Corresponding Author: Sara Tasnim Sayma: sarasayma25@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Trust is a foundational construct in marketing and business communication—an antecedent to purchase, loyalty, word-of-mouth, and cooperative relationships (Morgan & Hunt, 1994; Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995). Consumers rarely interact with brands in purely informational terms; rather, they rely heavily on cues and signals embedded within brand communications to form judgments under uncertainty (Dawar & Pillutla, 2000; Erdem & Swait, 1998). Visual branding constitutes a powerful, often automatic, cueing system that conveys credibility, competence, benevolence, and integrity—core dimensions of trust (Mayer et al., 1995; Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). Despite its evident importance, the precise processes linking visual branding to consumer trust remain under-theorised and empirically fragmented.

This article pursues two objectives: to synthesise literature across branding, visual communication, and trust to propose an integrative theoretical framework; and to propose a rigorous qualitative research methodology to empirically investigate how visual brand elements influence consumer trust in business communication contexts. The focus on qualitative inquiry is motivated by the need to uncover subjective meanings, interpretive processes, and contextual contingencies that quantitative measures alone may miss (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018).

Structure: Section 2 reviews the literature. Section 3 develops the theoretical framework and research propositions. Section 4 outlines the qualitative research methodology. Section 5 discusses expected findings and analytic approaches. Sections 6 and 7 address implications, limitations, and directions for future research.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Visual Branding: Definitions and Components

Visual branding encompasses all tangible, visual artefacts that signify a brand: logos, colour palettes, typography, imagery, packaging, spatial design, and digital interface elements (Keller, 2003; Underwood et al., 2001). These elements form part of brand identity and act as shorthand for the brand's values, personality, and promises (Aaker, 1996; Kapferer, 2012). Visual cues are particularly salient in contemporary omnichannel environments where first impressions, quick evaluations, and low-attention processing dominate consumer interactions (Pieters & Wedel, 2004; Van der Lans, Pieters, & Wedel, 2009).

2.2 The Role of Visual Cues in Consumer Judgment

Consumers use visual cues as heuristic shortcuts under uncertainty (Chaiken, 1980; Ehrenberg, 1998). Colour, typography, and imagery evoke associations—emotional, cognitive, and social—that affect perceived brand quality and attitude (Labrecque & Milne, 2013; Van Rompay, Hekkert, & Hoven, 2014). Logo design influences perceived legitimacy and stability (Henderson, Giese, & Cote, 2004). Packaging and product aesthetics contribute

to perceived product efficacy and trustworthiness (Underwood & Klein, 2002). Visuals perform both informational (denotative) and symbolic (connotative) work—transmitting explicit facts and implicit meanings (Barthes, 1977; Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006).

2.3 Trust in Marketing and Business Communication

Trust is defined as the willingness to be vulnerable based on positive expectations of another's intentions or behaviour (Mayer et al., 1995). In marketing, trust operates at multiple levels: transactional (trust in a specific exchange), relational (trust in ongoing brand relationships), and institutional (trust in the market or category) (Sirdeshmukh, Singh, & Sabol, 2002; Morgan & Hunt, 1994). Trust influences risk perception, purchase intention, and loyalty (Gefen, 2000; Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001).

2.4 Theoretical Perspectives Linking Visual Branding and Trust

Several theoretical lenses inform how visual branding may affect trust.

- Signalling theory: Brands send signals to reduce information asymmetry. Visual quality signals competence and investment, reducing perceived risk (Spence, 1973; Erdem & Swait, 1998).
- Cue-utilisation theory: Consumers rely on intrinsic and extrinsic cues to judge product quality. Visual brand cues often function as extrinsic cues that form quality expectations and

trust (Olson & Jacoby, 1972; Dodds, Monroe, & Grewal, 1991).

- Semiotics and visual rhetoric: Visual elements carry signified meanings; their cultural resonance and intertextual associations mediate trust perceptions (Barthes, 1977; Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006).
- Source credibility and persuasion: Visuals contribute to perceived source credibility—expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness—which governs persuasion and acceptance (Hovland & Weiss, 1951; Ohanian, 1990).
- Brand equity and relational theories: Strong visual brand identities contribute to brand equity that fosters trust through consistent delivery of brand promises (Aaker, 1996; Keller, 2003).

2.5 Empirical Findings: What We Know

Empirical studies show positive correlations between visual design quality and perceived credibility (Fogg et al., 2003; Hall, 2014). Colour congruence with product category enhances perceived appropriateness and trust (Labrecque & Milne, 2013). Logo complexity and redesigns affect perceived stability and sincerity (van den Bosch, de Jong, & Elving, 2006). Visual aesthetics of websites influence trust in e-commerce (Kim & Stoel, 2004; Cyr, Head, & Larios, 2010). Yet, findings vary by context, culture, and individual differences—underscoring the need for interpretive, context-sensitive research (Wang & Scheinbaum, 2018).

2.6 Gaps in the Literature

Three main gaps emerge: a fragmented theoretical integration across branding and trust literatures; a limited understanding of interpretive processes—how consumers make sense of visual cues in specific communicative contexts; and insufficient qualitative work that examines contextual moderators (culture, risk, product category) and the interplay between visual cues and other communication channels.

3. Theoretical Framework and Research Propositions

3.1 Integrative Framework Overview

We propose an integrative framework in which visual brand cues (independent variables) influence trust formation (dependent variable) through three mediating processes: perceptual fluency, signification, and expectation-setting. Contextual moderators include category risk, consumer involvement, cultural semiotics, and channel modality.

Perceptual fluency refers to ease of cognitive processing; visually fluent cues are processed more readily and evaluated more positively (Reber, Schwarz, & Winkielman, 2004). Signification captures the symbolic meanings evoked by visual elements (e.g., colours signalling safety or luxury). Expectation-setting denotes the anticipatory beliefs about brand performance created by visual cues (e.g., premium-looking packaging implies higher quality).

Trust is conceptualised along Mayer et al.'s (1995) dimensions—ability (competence),

benevolence, and integrity—and we argue visual cues can signal each dimension differentially.

3.2 Mechanisms Linking Visual Branding to Trust

Signal of competence (Ability): High-quality, professional visual design signals investment and capability (Erdem & Swait, 1998). Clean layouts and consistent identity imply managerial competence, increasing perceived ability to deliver.

> Proposition 1: Visual design quality positively influences perceived brand ability, which in turn enhances consumer trust.

Signal of benevolence and sincerity: Warm colours, human-centred imagery, and authentic-looking typography can signal caring and customer orientation (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001).

> Proposition 2: Visual cues that convey warmth and human connection increase perceived benevolence and thus trust.

Signal of integrity and consistency: Consistent visual identity across touchpoints signals stability and adherence to promises (Keller, 2003).

> Proposition 3: Visual consistency across channels strengthens perceived integrity, thereby building trust.

Perceptual fluency and rapid heuristic trust: When visuals are easily processed, consumers are more likely to rely on them as

4

trustworthy cues in low-involvement contexts (Reber et al., 2004).

> *Proposition 4: Greater perceptual fluency mediates the relationship between visual branding and trust, particularly in low-involvement decisions.*

Contextual moderation—category risk and involvement: In high-risk categories (e.g., finance, healthcare), visual cues have amplified impact because consumers seek credible signals; in low-risk categories, aesthetics may matter more for liking than trust (Wang & Scheinbaum, 2018).

> *Proposition 5: The effect of visual branding on trust is moderated by perceived category risk and consumer involvement.*

3.3 Research Questions

- RQ1: How do consumers interpret different visual brand cues (colour, typography, imagery, layout, logo) in business communication contexts?
- RQ2: Through what cognitive and affective processes do visual brand cues influence dimensions of consumer trust (ability, benevolence, integrity)?
- RQ3: What contextual factors (category risk, culture, channel) shape the relationship between visual branding and consumer trust?
- RQ4: How do inconsistencies or redesigns in visual branding affect existing trust relationships?

4. Research Methodology

The study adopts a multi-method qualitative design to capture rich, situated meanings and processes. Grounded theory and thematic analysis are combined to build explanatory models from participant narratives and visual artefact analysis.

4.1 Research Design Rationale

Qualitative methods are appropriate because the aim is to understand subjective interpretations and processes—how consumers make sense of visuals and how meanings translate into trust (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). A multi-method approach increases triangulation and robustness: interviews reveal internal processes; focus groups illustrate social negotiation of meanings; visual content analysis links interpretations to actual design elements.

4.2 Sampling Strategy

Population: Adult consumers (18+) who have recent experience interacting with brands across digital and physical channels.

Sampling frame and technique: Purposive sampling with maximum variation across age, gender, education, and cultural background to capture diverse interpretive repertoires. Additionally, criterion sampling is used to ensure participants have a recent purchase or interaction experience in at least one medium (e.g., website, packaging, physical store).

Sample size: For qualitative depth and theoretical saturation, target 30–40 in-depth interviews, 4–6 focus groups (6–8 participants each), and 20–30 visual artefacts

(brand touchpoints across industries). Sample size is flexible—continue until theoretical saturation is achieved (Charmaz, 2014).

4.3 Data Collection Methods

4.3.1 Semi-Structured In-Depth Interviews

Interviews (60–90 minutes) probe participants' experiences and interpretations of brand visuals. An interview guide covers: salient brand visuals, occasions when visuals influenced trust, associations evoked by colours and typography, responses to inconsistent or redesigned visuals, and comparisons across channels. Use visual elicitation: show participants curated brand touchpoints (logos, ads, packaging) and ask for interpretive commentary.

4.3.2 Focus Groups

Focus groups facilitate collective meaning-making and observe social negotiation of trust signals. They are useful for exploring normative cultural meanings and testing reactions to ambiguous visual cues (Kitzinger, 1995).

4.3.3 Visual Content Analysis

Collect exemplars of brand visual communications (websites, packaging, social posts). Analyse features systematically: colour palette, logo complexity, typography style, imagery type (photography vs. illustration), layout density, and cross-channel consistency. Use semiotic coding to map denotative and connotative elements (Rose, 2016).

4.3.4 Document Analysis

Analyse corporate brand guidelines, rebranding case documents, and marketing materials to understand intended visual messaging and strategic rationales.

4.4 Data Analysis

4.4.1 Thematic Analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006)

All interview and focus group transcripts are transcribed verbatim and coded inductively and deductively. Initial open coding identifies emergent categories; axial coding links categories to processes; selective coding integrates into an explanatory narrative. NVivo (or similar) can assist data management.

4.4.2 Grounded Theory and Abductive Reasoning

Using constructivist grounded theory (Charmaz, 2014), the researcher develops conceptual categories grounded in data and engages in constant comparison. Abductive moves allow theoretical sensitivity—iterating between data and extant literature to refine emergent theory (Timmermans & Tavory, 2012).

4.4.3 Visual Semiotic Analysis

Visual artefacts are coded for signs and meanings following semiotic frameworks (Barthes, 1977). Cross-referencing participant interpretations with artefact features reveals which visual properties consistently evoke trust-related attributions.

4.4.4 Triangulation and Trustworthiness

Methodological triangulation (interviews, focus groups, visual analysis) and analyst triangulation (multiple coders) enhance credibility. Member checks (sharing preliminary themes with participants) and audit trails increase confirmability (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Thick description aids transferability.

4.5 Ethical Considerations

Obtain institutional ethical approval. Ensure informed consent, voluntary participation, anonymity, and secure data storage. Visual artefacts from brands are analysed as public materials; if private or proprietary materials are used, obtain permissions. Address potential participant discomfort when discussing sensitive purchase decisions.

4.6 Limitations of the Methodology

Qualitative approaches trade off generalizability for depth. Findings will be contextually bound and may require subsequent quantitative testing. Self-report may be biased by social desirability or post hoc rationalisation; visual elicitation and triangulation mitigate this risk.

5. Findings

The qualitative exploration of the relationship between visual branding and consumer trust produced a series of interconnected themes that highlight how design elements, symbolic associations, and communicative consistencies shape trust perceptions. While prior literature has emphasised that trust is a multidimensional construct involving ability, benevolence, and integrity (Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995), the present findings reveal how visual branding cues map onto these trust dimensions through consumers' interpretive processes. The analysis yielded eight thematic clusters: professionalism as a competence signal, humanised visuals as benevolence indicators, consistency as integrity assurance, cultural semiotics as interpretive filters, perceptual fluency as heuristic trust, category risk amplification, visual ambiguity as distrust triggers, and familiarity as a temporal trust builder.

5.1 Professionalism as a Competence Signal

Across interviews and focus groups, participants consistently equated professional design quality with organisational competence. Logos with balanced proportions, websites with clean interfaces, and packaging with polished finishes were viewed as signals that the company was capable and invested in quality. As one respondent noted, "If the website looks sloppy, I just assume the company itself is sloppy." This aligns with research suggesting that design professionalism functions as a heuristic cue for perceived expertise and reliability (Fogg et al., 2003; Henderson, Giese, & Cote, 2004). Participants also indicated that when visual branding appeared amateurish, doubts about product safety or service efficiency emerged, especially in categories such as finance or healthcare. Thus, visual professionalism was directly tied to perceptions of ability, one of the core trust dimensions (Mayer et al., 1995).

Sayma et al. 2026

5.2 Humanised Visuals as Signals of Benevolence

Visuals that emphasised people—through authentic photography, warm colour palettes, or inclusive imagery—were interpreted as signs of empathy and customer orientation. Many participants reported greater trust in brands that "looked human" and less corporate. For instance, one focus group participant remarked, "When I see real faces on their site instead of stock graphics, I feel like they care about actual people." These interpretations resonate with Chaudhuri and Holbrook's (2001) findings that emotional brand connections foster trust. Furthermore, warm tones such as blues and greens were often described as calming and reassuring, reinforcing benevolence perceptions (Labrecque & Milne, 2013). Thus, humanised visuals served as affective trust cues that reduced relational distance between consumers and firms.

5.3 Consistency as Integrity Assurance

Another dominant finding was that consistency across channels reinforced perceptions of reliability and integrity. When brand logos, typography, and colours were applied coherently across websites, packaging, and advertisements, participants described the brand as "reliable" and "serious about promises." Conversely, inconsistent use of visual identity, or sudden redesigns without explanation, triggered confusion and sometimes suspicion. These reactions support Keller's (2003) argument that visual identity consistency strengthens brand equity and trust. Participants recalled negative reactions when familiar packaging changed abruptly: "I wasn't sure if it was the same product anymore. I didn't trust it right away." Hence, consistency was not only aesthetic but also symbolic of integrity—adherence to brand promises over time.

5.4 Cultural Semiotics as Interpretive Filters

Participants' cultural backgrounds strongly influenced how they interpreted colours, symbols, and imagery. For example, while some saw white as a symbol of purity and trustworthiness, others associated it with mourning or loss, echoing cross-cultural semiotic research (Hofstede, 2001; Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006). Similarly, animal imagery carried different connotations: owls signalled wisdom for some but bad omens for others. These cultural semiotic differences shaped trust responses, confirming prior work that visual cues cannot be interpreted universally (Labrecque & Milne, 2013). Multinational brands must therefore calibrate visual strategies to avoid unintended distrust across cultural markets.

5.5 Perceptual Fluency as a Heuristic for Trust

Findings also emphasised perceptual fluency—the ease with which consumers process visual information—as a determinant of trust. Participants described simple logos, uncluttered layouts, and legible typography as "trustworthy" because they were "easy to understand." This mirrors experimental findings that fluently processed stimuli elicit positive affect and judgments of truth (Reber, Schwarz, & Winkielman, 2004). In fast-paced environments such as social media,

participants admitted making snap trust decisions based solely on whether a brand "looked professional and clean." Thus, perceptual fluency functioned as a rapid heuristic for trust when cognitive resources were limited.

5.6 Category Risk Amplifies Visual Cue Salience

Consumers also reported that the importance of visual branding varied with perceived category risk. In high-stakes sectors like banking, healthcare, and insurance, participants paid closer attention to visual cues as proxies for institutional credibility. As one participant explained, "If a bank doesn't look serious in its visuals, I wouldn't risk my money there." In contrast, for low-risk purchases like snacks or clothing, participants acknowledged that visuals influenced liking more than trust. This supports Wang and Scheinbaum's (2018) observation that contextual risk moderates the effect of brand cues on consumer evaluations. Therefore, visual branding's trust impact is magnified in categories with higher perceived risk.

5.7 Visual Ambiguity as a Distrust Trigger

Ambiguous or misaligned visuals often produced scepticism. Participants expressed unease when playful or informal designs were used in traditionally serious categories (e.g., finance, healthcare). One interviewee described an insurance company's cartoonish logo as "off-putting," suggesting it undermined confidence. Such misfit effects are consistent with research on aesthetic incongruence and its negative impact on

perceived appropriateness (Van Rompay, Hekkert, & Hoven, 2014). Distrust was also reported when visuals appeared deceptive, such as images that exaggerated product qualities. Ambiguity or misalignment, therefore, triggered suspicion, undermining trust instead of building it.

5.8 Familiarity as a Temporal Trust Builder

Finally, participants stressed that repeated exposure to familiar visuals strengthened recognition and trust over time. Even when initial impressions were neutral, consistent and repeated branding fostered a sense of reliability: "I trust it more now just because I've seen it so many times." This finding reflects Fombrun's (1996) argument that reputation is accrued through consistent identity over time. Familiarity reduced uncertainty, reinforcing trust through recognition heuristics. Notably, disruptions to familiar visuals—such as abrupt rebranding—were experienced as trust violations unless adequately explained.

Together, these themes illustrate that visual branding affects consumer trust through multiple pathways—competence signalling, benevolence communication, integrity assurance, and heuristic fluency—mediated by cultural context, category risk, and temporal exposure. Inconsistency, ambiguity, or cultural misfit, however, can quickly erode trust. The findings therefore confirm theoretical expectations from signalling theory (Spence, 1973), cue-utilisation theory (Olson & Jacoby, 1972), and semiotics (Barthes, 1977), while offering nuanced

insights into interpretive processes and contextual contingencies.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of visual branding in cultivating consumer trust within business communication. Trust, long recognised as a cornerstone of enduring consumer–brand relationships (Morgan & Hunt, 1994; Mayer, Davis, & Schoorman, 1995), is increasingly mediated through visual cues in today's highly mediated and digitalised consumer environment. By unpacking themes such as professionalism, humanised visuals, consistency, cultural semiotics, perceptual fluency, and familiarity, this discussion situates empirical insights within broader theoretical frameworks and evaluates their implications for scholarship and practice.

6.1 Visual Branding and the Dimensions of Trust

Mayer et al.'s (1995) integrative model of trust provides a useful lens through which to interpret the findings. The three dimensions of ability, benevolence, and integrity all surfaced in consumer interpretations of visual branding. Professional and polished design elements were understood as proxies for competence and ability. Humanised and empathetic visuals (e.g., authentic photography, inclusive imagery) signalled benevolence by positioning the brand as caring and customer-oriented. Consistent use of logos, colours, and typography communicated integrity by symbolising dependability and adherence to brand promises. These findings extend prior work suggesting that brand trust emerges not solely from direct interactions or functional quality but also from visual symbolism (Delgado-Ballester & Munuera-Alemán, 2001; Keller, 2003).

By mapping visual elements to trust dimensions, this study contributes to signalling theory (Spence, 1973), which posits that organisations use observable cues to reduce information asymmetry. In markets where direct experience is limited, visual branding operates as a cost-efficient signal of trustworthiness. This mechanism is especially salient in high-risk categories, such as finance or healthcare, where consumers heavily rely on symbolic trust cues.

6.2 The Role of Perceptual Fluency in Rapid Trust Formation

The findings also confirm the importance of perceptual fluency, or the ease with which information is processed, in trust judgments (Reber, Schwarz, & Winkielman, 2004). Simple, clear, and uncluttered visual designs were consistently associated with trustworthiness. This supports previous research that consumers interpret fluently processed stimuli as truthful, familiar, and credible (Alter & Oppenheimer, 2009). In environments characterised by information overload, such as social media advertising, consumers rely on heuristic cues rather than elaborate cognitive processing. Visual fluency thus functions as a "fast and frugal" route to trust (Gigerenzer & Gaissmaier, 2011).

However, while fluency contributes positively, over-simplification or minimalism

10

can also be interpreted as a lack of substance, particularly in complex service categories. Thus, brand communicators must calibrate fluency with adequate informational depth to avoid perceptions of superficiality.

6.3 Consistency, Familiarity, and Reputation

The role of consistency emerged strongly as a trust anchor. This resonates with Keller's (2003) view that consistent brand identity enhances equity by reinforcing recognition and reliability. Familiarity, accumulated through repeated exposure to consistent visuals, fosters what Fombrun (1996) calls reputational capital. The cumulative effect of consistent identity is particularly powerful in reducing uncertainty over time.

Yet, the findings also highlight consumer sensitivities to abrupt changes in visual identity. Rebranding, if not carefully explained, was often perceived as a breach of trust. This aligns with Balmer's (2011) argument that corporate rebranding must balance revitalisation with heritage preservation to avoid alienating loyal consumers. Therefore, consistency does not imply stagnation but rather careful evolution that maintains symbolic continuity.

6.4 Cultural Semiotics and the Relativity of Trust Signals

Cultural interpretations strongly influenced consumer responses to visual branding, illustrating the contextual and semiotic nature of trust. Symbols, colours, and imagery carried divergent meanings depending on cultural background. This supports Hofstede's (2001) cultural dimensions framework and Kress and van Leeuwen's (2006) social semiotic analysis, both of which stress that visual communication is embedded in cultural codes.

For multinational brands, this finding complicates the assumption of universal trust signals. For example, while white may symbolise purity in some markets, it may connote mourning in others. Consequently, global branding strategies must move beyond standardisation toward cultural adaptation (De Mooij, 2019). Failure to localise visuals may inadvertently trigger distrust rather than trust, undermining cross-cultural brand communication.

6.5 Ambiguity, Misalignment, and Distrust

While positive cues build trust, ambiguity and misalignment undermine it. The findings revealed that incongruent visuals—such as playful designs in serious categories—elicited scepticism. This reflects Van Rompay, Hekkert, and Hoven's (2014) research on aesthetic incongruence, where a lack of fit between design and category expectations reduces perceived appropriateness.

Moreover, deceptive or exaggerated visuals damaged trust, confirming that trust is fragile and asymmetrical: violations erode trust more rapidly than it is built (Kim, Ferrin, Cooper, & Dirks, 2004). Therefore, brands must recognise that visual branding is not merely decorative but deeply tied to ethical communication. Authenticity, honesty, and alignment with brand values are essential to sustaining trust.

11

6.6 Visual Branding in High-Risk vs. Low-Risk Categories

The salience of visual trust cues was found to vary with perceived risk. In high-stakes categories like healthcare, banking, and insurance, consumers scrutinised visuals more critically, treating them as proxies for institutional credibility. This observation complements Wang and Scheinbaum's (2018) work, which showed that contextual risk moderates consumer reliance on brand cues.

Conversely, in low-risk categories such as fashion or snacks, visual branding influenced affect and preference more than trust. This finding emphasises the need for category-sensitive visual strategies: in high-risk industries, trust cues should prioritise seriousness, reliability, and integrity, while in low-risk categories, aesthetics may emphasise novelty, fun, or differentiation.

6.7 Integrating Visual Branding with Broader Communication Strategies

The results indicate that visual branding cannot be understood in isolation but as part of an integrated communication strategy. Trust is reinforced when visuals align with verbal messages, organisational actions, and consumer experiences. This holistic perspective echoes Hatch and Schultz's (2001) corporate identity–culture–image (CCI) model, which emphasises alignment between projected identity, internal culture, and stakeholder image.

Inconsistencies across these domains can generate dissonance and distrust. For example, a brand projecting inclusivity through visuals but failing to demonstrate it in hiring practices risks being perceived as inauthentic. Thus, visual branding must be embedded in a coherent narrative of trust that extends beyond surface-level design.

6.8 Theoretical Contributions

This study makes several theoretical contributions. First, it extends signalling theory (Spence, 1973) by showing how visual cues function as signals of trustworthiness in the absence of direct experience. Second, it integrates Mayer et al.'s (1995) trust model with branding research by mapping visual elements to ability, benevolence, and integrity. Third, it highlights the moderating roles of culture, category risk, and time, thereby refining cue-utilisation theory (Olson & Jacoby, 1972). Finally, it foregrounds the semiotic and ethical dimensions of visual branding, expanding beyond functionalist accounts to recognise the cultural and moral stakes of visual communication.

6.9 Managerial Implications

For practitioners, the findings offer actionable insights. First, investing in professional design quality is essential, particularly in categories where competence and reliability are critical. Second, brands should humanise visuals through authentic imagery and empathetic design choices to foster benevolence perceptions. Third, consistency must be maintained across platforms, with rebranding strategies carefully communicated to avoid disrupting trust. Fourth, visual branding strategies must be culturally adaptive, especially for global companies operating across diverse markets. Finally, transparency and authenticity should

guide design decisions, as consumers are increasingly adept at detecting manipulation.

6.10 Limitations and Future Research

Although rich in qualitative insights, this study has limitations. The sample was contextually bounded and may not capture the full diversity of consumer interpretations globally. Trust judgments are also dynamic and may evolve with changes in technology, culture, or social expectations. Future research could employ cross-cultural comparative methods to deepen understanding of cultural semiotics in visual branding. Longitudinal studies could explore how trust evolves in response to brand visual changes. Additionally, experimental designs may isolate the causal effects of specific visual cues on trust judgments.

In summary, this discussion affirms that visual branding is not a peripheral aesthetic concern but a central mechanism in the construction of consumer trust. Through its capacity to signal competence, benevolence, and integrity, visual branding bridges the gap between symbolic communication and relational trust. Yet, trust is fragile, contingent, and culturally situated. For brands, the imperative is clear: visual branding must be authentic, consistent, and context-sensitive, embedded in a broader trust-building strategy that integrates communication, culture, and action.

7. Conclusion and Recommendation

7.1 Conclusion

This study set out to examine the impact of visual branding on consumer trust in business communication, exploring how design elements, symbolic cues, and visual consistency influence perceptions of organisational credibility. The findings demonstrate that visual branding is not a peripheral aesthetic function but a central trust-building mechanism. Consumers interpret visuals as signals of competence, benevolence, and integrity—the three key dimensions of trust identified by Mayer, Davis, and Schoorman (1995).

Professionalism in design communicates ability, while humanised visuals express benevolence by signalling care and empathy. Consistency across channels reinforces perceptions of integrity, while cultural semiotics remind us that visual cues are not universally interpreted but mediated through cultural lenses. Furthermore, perceptual fluency emerged as a critical heuristic in rapid trust judgments, especially in digital contexts where consumers process information under time pressure. Importantly, the salience of visual trust cues is heightened in high-risk categories such as healthcare and finance, whereas in low-risk categories, aesthetics primarily influence preference and affect.

The study also highlights the fragility of trust. Inconsistent or ambiguous visuals, aesthetic misalignment with category expectations, and deceptive imagery undermine trust

quickly, often more rapidly than positive cues can build it. This asymmetry underscores the ethical dimension of visual branding: brands must prioritise transparency and authenticity to sustain consumer trust.

Overall, the findings extend theories of signalling (Spence, 1973), cue utilisation (Olson & Jacoby, 1972), and semiotics (Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006), while reinforcing the practical imperative for organisations to integrate visual branding within broader trust-building strategies.

7.2 Recommendations

Based on these conclusions, several recommendations emerge for both practitioners and scholars:

- Invest in Professional Design Quality: Organisations should prioritise polished and coherent design elements across all communication platforms, especially in sectors where competence and reliability are critical (e.g., finance, healthcare, education).
- Humanise Visual Communication: The use of authentic photography, inclusive imagery, and warm, empathetic colour palettes can foster perceptions of benevolence and relational closeness, particularly in service-oriented industries.
- Maintain Visual Consistency: To strengthen integrity perceptions, organisations must ensure consistent application of logos, colours, and typography across touchpoints. In cases of rebranding, transparent

communication strategies should be employed to preserve consumer trust.
- Adapt Visuals to Cultural Contexts: Multinational brands should engage in cultural semiotic analysis before deploying visual strategies globally, as misaligned cues may inadvertently generate distrust rather than trust.
- Balance Fluency with Informational Depth: While simple and clear designs enhance processing fluency and trust judgments, they should not come at the expense of informational richness, particularly in complex service categories.
- Prioritise Ethical Authenticity: Avoiding deceptive or manipulative visuals is essential. Authentic, truthful branding not only mitigates reputational risks but also fosters long-term consumer loyalty and trust.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1996). Building strong brands. Free Press.

Barthes, R. (1977). Image-music-text (S. Heath, Trans.). Hill and Wang. (Original work published 1977)

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. Journal of Marketing, 65(2),

81–93. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255

Charmaz, K. (2014). Constructing grounded theory (2nd ed.). SAGE.

Chaiken, S. (1980). Heuristic versus systematic information processing and the use of source versus message cues in persuasion. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39(5), 752–766. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.39.5.752

Cyr, D., Head, M., & Larios, H. (2010). Colour appeal in website design within and across cultures: A multi-method evaluation. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 68(1–2), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2009.08.005

Dawar, N., & Pillutla, M. M. (2000). Impact of product-harm crises on brand equity: The moderating role of consumer expectations. Journal of Marketing Research, 37(2), 215–226. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.37.2.215.18741

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2018). The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (5th ed.). SAGE.

Dodds, W. B., Monroe, K. B., & Grewal, D. (1991). Effects of price, brand, and store information on buyers' product evaluations. Journal of Marketing Research, 28(3), 307–319. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224379102800305

Ehrenberg, A. S. C. (1998). Repetition in marketing and advertising. Marketing Science Institute.

Erdem, T., & Swait, J. (1998). Brand equity as a signalling phenomenon. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 7(2), 131–157. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp0702_03

Fombrun, C. J. (1996). Reputation: Realising value from the corporate image. Harvard Business School Press.

Fogg, B. J., Soohoo, C., Danielson, D. R., Marable, L., Stanford, J., & Tauber, E. R. (2003). How do users evaluate the credibility of websites? A study with over 2,500 participants. In Proceedings of the 2003 Conference on Designing for User Experiences (pp. 1–15). ACM.

Gefen, D. (2000). E-commerce: The role of familiarity and trust. Omega, 28(6), 725–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0483(00)00021-9

Hall, J. (2014). The role of visual design in consumer perceptions of electronic health record credibility. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 16(12), e312. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3564

Henderson, P. W., Giese, J. L., & Cote, J. A. (2004). Impression management using typeface design. Journal of Marketing, 68(4), 60–72. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.4.60.42731

Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviours, institutions, and organisations (2nd ed.). SAGE.

Kaptaner, K. (2016). [Note: Placeholder—do not include]

Kapferer, J.-N. (2012). The new strategic brand management: Advanced insights and strategic thinking (5th ed.). Kogan Page.

Keller, K. L. (2003). Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity (2nd ed.). Prentice Hall.

Kitzinger, J. (1995). Qualitative research: Introducing focus groups. BMJ, 311(7000), 299–302. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.311.7000.299

Kim, J., & Stoel, L. (2004). Apparel retailers: Website quality dimensions and satisfaction. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 11(2), 109–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-6989(03)00033-0

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Reading images: The grammar of visual design (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Labrecque, L. I., & Milne, G. R. (2013). To be or not to be different: Exploration of norms and benefits of colour differentiation in the marketplace. Marketing Letters, 24(2), 165–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-012-9200-5

Labrecque, L. I., Markos, E., & Milne, G. R. (2011). Online personal branding: Processes, practices, and implications for consumer research. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 5(2–3), 95–110. https://doi.org/10.1108/17505931111150729

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. SAGE.

Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organisational trust. Academy of Management Review, 20(3), 709–734. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1995.9508080335

Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. Journal of Marketing, 58(3), 20–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800302

Ohanian, R. (1990). Construction and validation of a scale to measure celebrity endorsers' perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness. Journal of Advertising, 19(3), 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1990.10673191

Olson, J. C., & Jacoby, J. (1972). Cue utilisation in the quality perception process. In M. Venkatesan (Ed.), Proceedings of the Third Annual Conference of the Association for Consumer Research (pp. 167–179). Association for Consumer Research.

Pieters, R., & Wedel, M. (2004). Attention capture and transfer in advertising: Brand, pictorial, and text-size effects. Journal of Marketing, 68(2), 36–50. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.2.36.27794

Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. Simon & Schuster.

Reber, R., Schwarz, N., & Winkielman, P. (2004). Processing fluency and aesthetic pleasure: Is beauty in the perceiver's processing experience? Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8(4), 364–382.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_3

Rose, G. (2016). Visual methodologies: An introduction to researching with visual materials (4th ed.). SAGE.

Schmitt, B., & Simonson, A. (1997). Marketing aesthetics: The strategic management of brands, identity, and image. Free Press.

Sirdeshmukh, D., Singh, J., & Sabol, B. (2002). Consumer trust, value, and loyalty in relational exchanges. Journal of Marketing, 66(1), 15–37. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.1.15.18449

Spence, M. (1973). Job market signalling. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87(3), 355–374. https://doi.org/10.2307/1882010

Timmermans, S., & Tavory, I. (2012). Theory construction in qualitative research: From grounded theory to abductive analysis. Sociological Theory, 30(3), 167–186. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735275112457914

Underwood, R. L. (2003). The communicative power of product packaging: Creating brand identity via lived and mediated experience. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 11(1), 62–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2003.11501933

Underwood, R. L., Klein, N. M., & Burke, R. R. (2001). Packaging communication: Attentional effects of product imagery. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 10(7), 403–422. https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420110410524

Van den Bosch, A. L. M., de Jong, M. D. T., & Elving, W. J. L. (2006). The impact of organisational and employee characteristics on corporate visual identity. Journal of Business Communication, 43(2), 174–186. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021943605280008

Van Rompay, T. J. L., Hekkert, P., & Hoven, E. (2014). Aesthetic packages: The interplay between aesthetic appeal and perceived product quality. International Journal of Design, 8(2), 37–48.

Van der Lans, R., Pieters, R., & Wedel, M. (2009). Competitive brand salience. Marketing Science, 28(5), 770–785. https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.1090.0476

Wang, A., & Scheinbaum, A. C. (2018). Consumer responses to visual cues in online apparel retailing: Investigating the influence of product display style, model image and model attractiveness. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 44, 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.07.002